



Attachment A

County of San Diego Consortium Consolidated Plan Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

Fiscal Year 2005-06

Community Development Block Grant Program
HOME Investment Partnerships Program
Emergency Shelter Grant Program

County of San Diego
Department of Housing and Community Development

Catherine Trout Lichterman
Director

County of San Diego Consortium
FISCAL YEAR 2005-06 CONSOLIDATED PLAN ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT
Table of Contents

Section	Page(s)
General.....	4
Executive Summary	4
General Questions	4
1. Assessment of One Year Goals and Objectives	4
2. Changes to Program as a Result of Experiences.....	14
3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	15
4. Underserved Needs.....	16
5. Leveraging Resources.....	16
Managing the Process.....	19
Citizen Participation.....	20
1. Summary of Citizen Comments.....	20
2. Federal Funds Made Available.....	20
Institutional Structure.....	22
Monitoring.....	22
1. Frequency.....	22
2. Results.....	23
3. Self Evaluation.....	23
Lead-Based Paint.....	25
Housing	26
Housing Needs.....	26
Specific Housing Objectives.....	26
1. Affordable Housing	26
2. Section 215.....	26
3. Worst Case Housing Needs.....	27
Public Housing Strategy	27
Barriers to Affordable Housing	28
HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME)/American Dream Down Payment Initiative ADDI)	29
1. Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives	29
2. HOME Match Report	30
3. HOME MBE and WBE Report.....	30
4. Assessments of On-site Inspections, Affirmative Marketing and Outreach.....	30
Homeless.....	31
Homeless Needs	31
1. Actions Taken.....	31
2. Transition to Permanent Housing.....	31
3. New Federal Resources.....	31
Specific Homeless Prevention Elements.....	32
Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)	33
1. Actions Taken.....	33
2. Relationships of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives.....	33
3. Matching Resources.....	34
4. Method of Distribution.....	34
5. Activity and Beneficiary Data.....	35
Community Development	36
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	37
1. Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives	37
2. Changes in Program Objectives.....	37
3. Carrying Out Planned Actions	37
4. Funds Not Used for National Objectives	37
5. Anti-displacement and Relocation	38
6. Low/Mod Job Activities	40

7.	Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities.....	40
8.	Program Income Received	40
9.	Prior Period Adjustments.....	41
10.	Loans and Other Receivables.....	41
11.	Lump Sum Agreements.....	42
12.	Housing Rehabilitation.....	42
13.	Neighborhood Revitalization.....	42
	Antipoverty Strategy.....	42
	Non-Homeless Special Needs.....	43
	Actions Taken.....	43
	Specific HOPWA Objectives.....	43
	Other Narrative.....	45

Appendices

Summary of Impediments and Accomplishments	A
HOME Match Report.....	B
HOME Annual Performance Report Part III.....	C
Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)	D
Housing Needs Table.....	E
Homeless Needs Table.....	F
Non-Homeless Special Needs Table.....	G
Community Development Table.....	H



First Program Year CAPER

The CPMP First Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The Executive Summary narratives are optional.

The grantee must submit an updated Financial Summary Report (PR26).

GENERAL

Executive Summary

This module is optional but encouraged. If you choose to complete it, provide a brief overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the first year.

This annual performance report, covering the period from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006, represents the first of the five-year period in the 2005-2010 County Consortium Consolidated Plan. In addition, this is the first annual report utilizing the new HUD CPMP (Consolidated Plan Management Process) format. To avoid redundancies, many cross-references are included.

This annual performance report describes activities that were undertaken during FY 2005-06, using Federal funds granted to the County of San Diego Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Consortium by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), including the HOME American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI), and Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Programs. The City of San Diego is the entitlement jurisdiction for the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) program, and by agreement with the City, the County administers the HOPWA Program. Relevant information pertaining to the HOPWA Program will be included with the City of San Diego's FY 2005-06 annual performance report.

The HUD-funded activities covered in this report primarily benefited low-income and moderate-income residents and lower income communities within the jurisdiction, and addressed affordable housing, homeless, and non-housing community development needs. These activities met the following objectives: (1) created suitable living environments; (2) provided decent affordable housing; and (3) created economic opportunities. Outcomes included: (1) availability or improved accessibility of infrastructure, public facilities, housing, or shelter to low and moderate-income people, including persons with disabilities; (2) new or improved affordability through creation or maintenance of affordable housing; and (3) sustainability resulting from improved communities or neighborhoods, making them livable or viable by providing benefit to low and moderate-income persons or by removing slums or blighted areas.

General Questions

1. **Assessment of the one-year goals and objectives:**
 - a. **Describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period.**
 - b. **Provide a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.**
 - c. **If applicable, explain why progress was not made towards meeting the goals and objectives.**

1a. Accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives:

The following is a summary of the accomplishments made during the reporting period under each objective identified in the 2005-2010 Consolidated Plan.

HOUSING

Housing Objective H-1: Achieve the construction and acquisition/rehabilitation of 315 rental housing units with HOME and CDBG funds over the five-year period.

During FY 2005-06, an open Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) process was utilized for disbursement of HOME and CDBG affordable housing development funds. The open NOFA process encourages more housing proposals and expedites funding of housing proposals. The following multi-family housing projects were allocated funding or underway during FY 2005-06:

North County Serenity House, a new construction 60-bed Serenity Village “safe housing” project in Escondido (\$525,000) for women (and children) who have graduated from substance abuse treatment programs, was underway in FY 2005-06. Construction of the Serenity Village started in July 2006. HOME funding will assist four units. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$55,177.52.

CDBG funds (\$2,200,000) and HOME funds (\$1,010,000) were allocated for the acquisition of the Spring Villa Apartments in Spring Valley, a 136-unit rental housing development. All 136 units will be restricted to households earning at or below 60% of the Area Median Income (AMI) for 55 years. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$3,210,000.

HOME funds (\$771,234) were allocated for the development and construction of Becky’s House II, owned and managed by YWCA of San Diego County. Becky’s House II is a 14-unit transitional housing development for victims of domestic violence. All 14 units will be restricted to households earning at or below 50% of the AMI for 55 years. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$19,192.50.

HOME funds (\$1,010,000) were allocated for the acquisition of the Solara Apartments in Poway, a 56-unit multi-family rental housing development that will restrict 10 of the 56 units for households earning at or below 50% of the AMI for 55 years. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$1,010,000.

HOME/CDBG funds (\$3,182,000) were allocated in June 2006 for the acquisition and rehabilitation of the Canyon Park Apartments in Spring Valley, a 64-unit multi-family rental housing development that will restrict 63 units for households earning at or below 65% of the AMI for 55 years. One unit will be reserved for the manager. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$10,000.

HOME funds (\$2,210,000) were allocated in April 2006 for the construction of the Springbrook Grove Apartments in Fallbrook, a 44-unit multi-family rental housing development that will restrict 44 units for households earning at or below 60% of the AMI for 55 years. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$10,000.

Rehabilitation of the Villa Lakeshore Apartments, a 34-unit affordable housing complex in Lakeside, was completed in 2005-06. CDBG funds provided to Villa Lakeshore totaled \$356,000. Three units are restricted to households earning at or below 50% of the AMI for 55 years. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$142,290.63 and cumulative expenditures total \$356,000.

Rehabilitation of the Lakeside Gardens Apartments in Lakeside, an 85-unit senior apartment complex, was completed in 2005-06. CDBG funds provided to Lakeside Gardens Apartments totaled \$532,000. All 85 units are restricted to households earning at or below 50% of the AMI for 55 years. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$201,524.83 and cumulative expenditures total \$532,000.

Rehabilitation of the Pine View Apartments in Fallbrook, a 101-unit apartment property, continued in FY 2005-06. CDBG funds in the amount of \$878,400 and \$1,774,600 in HOME funds were provided to the Pine View Apartments project. All 101 units are restricted to households earning at or below 80% of the AMI for 55 years. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$638,710.34 and cumulative expenditures total \$2,428,492.34.

Housing Objective H-2: Assist 279 households with rental assistance using HOME funds, including households with special needs over the five-year period.

In FY 2005-06 HOME funding was provided to the following rental assistance programs:

- HOME Urban County Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)
HOME funds were allocated in 2002 for implementation of a TBRA program that would assist up to 66 low-income families or individuals residing in the Urban County. The program provides a priority preference for mobilehome owner occupants residing within the targeted jurisdiction. In FY 2005-06, this program provided rental assistance to 58 households. No supplemental funding was added to the Urban County TBRA in FY 2005-06. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$437,398.52.
- HOME Mentally Ill Youth TBRA
HOME funds were allocated in 2000 for implementation of a TBRA program that would assist up to 10 low-income, mentally ill, young adults. The program is a collaborative effort between HCD and the County's Health and Human Services Agency's Mental Health Services division. In FY 2005-06, the program was operationally adjusted to assist up to four youth. No funds were added in FY 2005-06. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$24,589.
- HOME Emancipated Foster Youth TBRA
HOME funds were allocated in 2002 for implementation of the Emancipated Foster Youth TBRA Program, a transitional housing program that provides rental assistance to former foster youth between the ages of 18 and 21. In FY 2005-06, 85 youths were assisted. No funds were added to the Emancipated Foster Youth TBRA in FY 2005-06. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$265,491.07.

- **HOME Tuberculosis TBRA**
This program provides assistance to those who suffer from tuberculosis. Referrals to HCD and supportive services are provided by the County Health and Human Services Agency. The program was established in 1994 and was designed to assist 20 families for two years. Two households were assisted under this program in FY 2005-06. No funds were added to the program in FY 2005-06. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$6,401.
- **HOME Family Reunification TBRA**
HOME funds were allocated to a Pilot HOME Family Reunification TBRA Program in 2004. The program provides rental assistance and support to at least 15 families participating in the Dependency Court's Substance Abuse Recovery Management System (SARMS). The program is a collaborative effort between HCD and the County's Health and Human Services Agency. It targets low-income families who are experiencing barriers in housing and who are attempting to reunify with their children while in recovery. Sixteen households were assisted under this program in FY 2005-06. No supplemental funding was added to the Family Reunification TBRA in FY 2005-06. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$96,959.05.

Housing Objective H-3: Continue to assist with the provision of farmworker housing in the five-year period.

The Farmworker Housing Fee Waiver Program uses CDBG funds to pay County permit fees for the development of farmworker housing. To qualify for the fee waiver, owners enter into an agreement that specifies rents and bi-annual inspections of the housing units. The Program assisted in the creation of one trailer housing unit during FY 2005-06 and expenditures totaled \$223.28 in CDBG funds. In addition, the Housing Authority of County of San Diego received three program inquiries on behalf of owners interested in entering into a Farmworker Employee Housing Agreement. The Housing Authority of County of San Diego, the County Department of Planning and Land Use, the County Department of Environmental Services, the County Customer Service Center, and a representative from the San Diego County Farm Bureau met in June 2006 to discuss program coordination. Currently, the Department of Planning and Land Use is working on mapping the Farmworker Employee Waiver Program units and establishing new procedures for the program.

Housing Objective H-4: Assist 212 owner-households with necessary improvements using CDBG and HOME funds over the five-year period.

During FY 2005-06, 15 residential units were rehabilitated under the Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program, including two single-family units and 13 mobilehomes. Funds spent on residential rehabilitation loans during FY 2005-06 totaled \$92,610.00 in CDBG funds. In addition, nine units are currently underway (two single-family units and seven mobilehome units), and are expected to be completed during FY 2006-07.

Housing Objective H-5: Assist approximately 500 moderate income households with minor home repair over the five-year period.

During FY 2005-06, the Urban County home security/minor rehab programs operated by Labor's Community Service Agency and Lutheran's Social Services served a total of 163 low-income households, predominately households of seniors and persons with disabilities. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$63,618.49 in CDBG funds.

Housing Objective H-6: Assist 40 homebuyers with Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance over the five-year period.

During FY 2005-06, 14 homeowners were assisted through the Urban County Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program. In addition, these 14 homeowners were also assisted through the Urban County ADDI Program. A total of \$1,057,952.84 was utilized to assist the 14 low-income households in the purchase of their first homes. The total of \$1,057,952.84 was comprised of three funding sources: \$458,976.42 HOME funds, \$458,976.42 State CalHome Mortgage Assistance funds and \$140,000 ADDI funding.

Housing Objective H-7: Assist approximately 500 first-time homebuyers with 20 homebuyer education and counseling classes over the five-year period.

During FY 2005-06, the Urban County first-time Homebuyer Education and Counseling Program held 21 courses in the Urban County providing benefits to 298 persons. Of the 298 persons served, 218 were persons earning at or below 80% of the AMI. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$20,916.55 in CDBG funds.

Housing Objective H-8: Provide affordable housing within the CDBG and HOME participating cities over the five-year period, including rehabilitation, new construction, rental assistance, down payment assistance and credit counseling.

CDBG Participating Cities:

During FY 2005-06, the City of Del Mar Rental Subsidy Program assisted eight households and expended \$21,119 in CDBG funds. The City of Poway Housing Rehabilitation Program assisted eight households and expended \$155,199 in CDBG funds. In addition, the Imperial Beach Residential Rehabilitation Program assisted one household and expended \$8,269 in CDBG funds.

HOME Consortium Cities:

Homeowner Rehabilitation Assistance: During FY 2005-06, the City of Vista provided homeowner rehabilitation funds to five households, totaling \$108,002.42 in HOME funds. In addition, the City of La Mesa provided homeowner rehabilitation funds to one household, totaling \$17,777.22 in HOME funds.

Rental Housing Activities: The City of Carlsbad allocated \$288,356 in FY 2005-06 HOME funds for the development of the Carlsbad Family Housing Project, a 56-unit, new-construction, affordable housing project located on the southeast corner of Cassia Road and El Camino Real in the City of Carlsbad. HOME funds will assist two units. The total allocation of \$288,356 was spent in FY 2005-06. In addition, the City of Encinitas allocated FY 2005-06 HOME funds (\$219,698) for partial reimbursement of a \$701,388 advance for the Pacific Pines Affordable Housing Project, assisting a total of six rental and homeownership units in a condominium complex in the City of Encinitas. From the beginning of the HOME Program in 1992, the HOME Consortium cities have funded a total of nine rental housing development projects with a total of 50 HOME-assisted units. HOME funding was used to partially finance acquisition, rehabilitation, and new construction costs for these nine projects located in the cities of Carlsbad, Encinitas, Santee, and Vista.

Rental Assistance: During FY 2005-06, the City of Encinitas provided TBRA benefiting two households. FY 2005-06 expenditures totaled \$7,341. No supplemental funding was added to any of the existing City-administered TBRA's in FY 2005-06. In addition, during FY 2005-06, the County-administered City of Encinitas and City of La Mesa TBRA programs together provided assistance benefiting 10 households. A total of \$27,010 was spent on the County-administered La Mesa TBRA in FY 2005-06 and a total of \$15,144 was spent on the County-administered Encinitas TBRA in FY 2005-06.

Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance: The City of San Marcos allocated FY 2005-06 HOME funds (\$230,775) to the City of San Marcos First-Time Homebuyer Program. The City of Santee allocated FY 2005-06 HOME funds (\$193,670) to the Santee First-Time Homebuyer Program. The City of Vista allocated FY 2005-06 HOME funds (\$390,326) to the City of Vista Homeownership Assistance Program. During FY 2005-06, the Cities of Santee and Vista together provided first-time homebuyer programs benefiting 19 households and totaling \$912,093.48 in HOME expenditures. In addition, 13 of the 19 homeowners were also assisted through the City of Vista and City of Santee ADDI Programs, totaling \$123,000 in ADDI expenditures.

HOMELESS

Homeless Objective Hm-1: Support 2,500 emergency shelter beds throughout the County using ESG funds over the five-year period.

During FY 2005-06, ESG funds were distributed in four contracts, as follows: Volunteers of America (VOA) in El Cajon received \$73,962 for shelter operations in East County; North County Interfaith Council (NCIC) in Escondido received \$57,097 for shelter operations, essential services, and homeless prevention activities in North County; North County Solutions for Change (NCSC) received \$39,097 for short-term transitional housing operations in Vista; and, the Ecumenical Council of San Diego County (ECSDC) received \$28,316 for emergency shelter operations and essential services. All contracts were committed by December 31, 2005 and will be fully expended in accordance with ESG critical deadlines cited at 24 CFR 576.35 (b). ESG expenditures in FY 2005-06 resulted in shelter benefits to an average of 624 unduplicated homeless persons and totaled \$159,733.25. VOA, NCIC, and NCSC provided 60 beds during FY 2005-06. In addition, ECSDC provided an average of 54 beds during FY 2005-06.

Homeless Objective HM-2: Assist 750 homeless persons with cold weather shelter vouchers over the five-year period.

During FY 2005-06, \$30,000 in CDBG funds supported the Cold Weather Shelter Voucher Program providing 496 motel/hotel shelter vouchers to 138 unduplicated clients (individuals/families) totaling 3,252 bed nights.

HIV/AIDS

The City of San Diego FY 2005-06 Consolidated Plan Annual Performance Report will describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the HOPWA Program for the reporting period.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development Objective CD-1: Over the five-year period: 1) provide for the orderly development of adopted Neighborhood Revitalization Areas (NRAs), pursuant to the recognized priorities of the County, participating cities, and advisory groups; 2) upgrade public facilities within the NRAs; and 3) provide public services or facilities necessary for the direct support of housing and the physical revitalization of communities.

The County of San Diego CDBG Program funded community development projects in NRAs to focus funds in geographic areas with concentrations of lower-income people, where there is evidence of blighted conditions and deficiencies in public improvements and facilities. Eight of the NRAs are located in the unincorporated area and six are in the participating cities. Because each community has a unique set of conditions and priorities, recommendations for funding are based on each community's needs. The County Annual Funding Plan Strategy emphasizes the physical revitalization of lower-income communities, and therefore there is a visible improvement in the NRAs each year. Every year, CDBG

funds support infrastructure improvements and CDBG funds support construction and improvement of neighborhood facilities that improve life for residents in the targeted communities.

During FY 2005-06, minor adjustments were made to the boundaries of three NRAs to reflect the 2000 census data demographics. The following describes the NRA revisions: 1) the Casa de Oro NRA was expanded to include the area between Conrad Drive, Estrella Drive, and North Bonita Street; 2) the Ramona NRA was expanded to include the area bounded by Olive Street, Cedar Street, and Summer Glen Road; and 3) the Rural Revitalization Area NRA was expanded to include a portion of the community of Rainbow. The other 11 NRAs remained the same in FY 2005-06.

Public improvements and facilities objectives include infrastructure improvements such as streets, sidewalks, drainage, water/sewer improvements, and retrofitting existing public facilities to address the accessibility needs of persons with disabilities. Improvements also include construction of new public facilities such as parks, fire stations, and health facilities. These funded activities predominantly take place in targeted lower-income NRAs and contribute to increased public health and safety, revitalized neighborhoods, and improved quality of life for residents. This has been achieved in FY 2005-06 by a total of 66 CDBG public improvement projects; 39 projects were completed in FY 2005-06 and 27 are currently budgeted or underway.

Public services objectives include the funding of support services that directly relate to, and are supportive of, physical community revitalization or housing development activities. This has been achieved in FY 2005-06 by a total of 21 CDBG public service projects; 13 projects were completed in FY 2005-06 and 8 are currently budgeted or underway.

In addition, CDBG funds were used for staff costs to administer revitalization committees in five unincorporated areas of the County: Alpine, Lakeside, Ramona, Spring Valley, and the rural southeasterly "Backcountry" area. The revitalization committees provide a forum for community residents and representatives of community organizations to voice their concerns regarding needed community services and improvements, and to work with County staff, elected officials, local, state and federal agency representatives to apply for funds and resolve identified issues. Two meetings were held in each community during FY 2005-06. The meetings focused on community needs relating to economic development and community character, as well as youth and senior citizen programs, community services and health, infrastructure, public safety and fire protection, parks, recreation, and library facilities. Often, residents submit applications for CDBG funding to address public facility needs identified at these meetings.

Another County effort that focuses on the physical revitalization of communities includes the Building Blocks for Better Neighborhoods Program. The program brings together various County departments to assist with neighborhood improvements, "one block at a time." Three blocks have been selected for participation in the program to date, including Kenwood Drive in Spring Valley, North Bonita Street in Casa de Oro, and Vine Street in Fallbrook. The program provides zero interest, deferred, and ten-year forgivable loans to residents to improve the appearance of their residence and promote community pride. It also focuses existing resources on addressing public improvement deficiencies and resolution of other problems, such as crime, traffic issues, land use issues, etc., on the block. In FY 2005-06, activities included public improvements in the area of Kenwood Drive. The project involved construction of sidewalk and drainage improvements along Kenwood Drive in Spring Valley,

from Bancroft Drive to Helix Street. In addition, improvements were made to the existing traffic signal at the intersection of Kenwood Drive and Bancroft Drive. The project improved pedestrian access and safety, as well as traffic circulation. These improvements were completed in March of 2006 and significantly improved the appearance of the block and provided safe off-road walkways for residents. In addition, the County conducted targeted outreach to single-family and multi-family property owners for participation in the County's rental rehabilitation and home repair loan programs. Several owners have expressed interest and may be funded during FY 2006-07.

Community Development Objective CD-2: As funding permits, support anti-crime programs in targeted NRAs over the five-year period.

The County typically does not support crime awareness and prevention programs with CDBG funds. No anti-crime programs were funded in FY 2005-06. However, where such programs are critical components of improving housing quality and revitalizing neighborhood conditions, the County will use CDBG funds to support anti-crime programs in targeted NRAs.

In addition, as discussed under Community Development Objective CD-1 above, HCD participates in Building Blocks for Better Neighborhoods Program, which focuses existing resources on addressing public improvement deficiencies and resolution of other problems, such as crime.

Community Development Objective CD-3: Provide approximately 450 shared housing matches over the five-year period.

There were no shared housing matches and no expenditures in FY 2005-06.

Community Development Objective CD-4: Provide funding to address economic development needs through public facility and infrastructure improvements. Approximately 10 businesses will be assisted through public improvement projects over the five-year period.

Each year, CDBG funds are channeled to targeted NRAs to address economic development needs through public facility and infrastructure improvements. Please refer to the accomplishments described under Community Development Objective CD-5 below. No economic development activities undertaken in FY 2005-06 involved the creation of jobs to meet the CDBG National Objective of lower-income benefit.

However, during FY 2005-06, \$85,000 in CDBG funds was allocated as an economic development planning expenditure to support administrative costs for the City/County Reinvestment Task Force. The City/County Reinvestment Task Force develops policies and plans to increase lending opportunities for businesses and households in low-income communities. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$67,224.62.

Community Development Objective CD-5: Due to limited funding, provide approximately 94 public facility and infrastructure improvement projects over the five-year period.

Public improvements and facilities objectives include infrastructure improvements such as streets, sidewalks, drainage, water/sewer improvements, and retrofitting existing public facilities to address the accessibility needs of persons with disabilities. Improvements also include construction of new public facilities such as parks, fire stations, and health facilities. These funded activities predominantly take place in targeted lower-income NRAs and contribute to increased public health and safety, revitalized neighborhoods, and improved quality of life for residents.

The following list shows FY 2005-06 unincorporated area public improvement project allocations and expenditures. FY 2005-06 public improvement allocations total \$1,820,500 and expenditures total \$1,400,945.37:

- Casa De Oro-Estrella Park Area Drainage Improvements Design \$133,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$133,000.
- Fallbrook-Brandon Road Sidewalks \$200,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$200,000.
- Fallbrook-Live Oak Park Pavilion \$110,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$27,352.12.
- Fallbrook-Old Stage Road Sidewalks Design \$50,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$50,000.
- Lakeside-Lindo Lake Park North Side Restroom \$235,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$140,449.30.
- Lakeside-Lindo Lake Park Playground Fence \$16,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$16,000.
- Lincoln Acres Park Tot-Lot and Swings \$68,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$66,541.61.
- Lincoln Acres-Olive Street Sidewalks ADA Improvements \$15,500. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$15,500.
- Ramona-Collier Park ADA Walkways/Parking/Landscaping \$85,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$72,016.72.
- Spring Valley Health Center Exterior Improvements \$52,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$24,700.
- Spring Valley Park Improvements \$153,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$10,252.64.
- Spring Valley-Jamacha Boulevard Sidewalks Design \$70,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$70,000.
- Rural Northeast-Felicita Park ADA Ramp Construction \$50,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$48,250.26.
- Rural Northeast-Julian Jess Martin Parking/Access \$190,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$183,028.80.
- Rural Northeast-Mount Laguna Fire Department Equipment \$28,724. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$28,724.
- Rural Northeast-Ocotillo Wells Fire Department Emergency Generators \$12,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$9,795.10.
- Rural Northeast-Rainbow Fire Department Emergency Equipment \$54,315. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$54,315.
- Rural Northeast-San Pasqual Academy Infrastructure Improvements \$150,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$146,192.26.
- Rural Northeast-Valley Center Park Pool Restroom ADA Improvements \$43,000. There were no expenditures during FY 2005-06.
- Rural Southeast-Bostonia Fire Department Emergency Generator \$24,400. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$24,379.
- Rural Southeast-Campo Fire Department Equipment \$34,561. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$34,448.56.
- Rural Southeast-Dulzura Emergency Generators \$46,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$46,000.

Community Development Objective CD-6: Over the five-year period, provide CDBG funding for the provision or improvement of community facilities, including youth and senior centers. The following list shows FY 2005-06 unincorporated area community center, including youth and senior center project allocations and expenditures:

- Rural Southeast-Campo Community Center Access/Parking Lot \$160,000. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$4,341.92.
- Rural Southeast-Campo Senior Center Improvements \$10,476. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$10,476.

Community Development Objective CD-7: Over the five-year period, continue to provide home loan counseling, fair housing services, rental security deposit assistance, and community clean-up activities to the Urban County communities, benefiting approximately 2,260 Urban County residents.

Home Loan Counseling: During FY 2005-06, the First-time Homebuyer Education and Counseling Program held 21 courses in the Urban County providing benefits to 298 persons. Of the 298 persons served, 218 were persons earning at or below 80% of the AMI. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$20,916.55 in CDBG funds.

Fair Housing: The County of San Diego, under HUD's direction, has the responsibility to affirmatively further fair housing within the San Diego Urban County, which consists of the unincorporated area of the County, as well as the cities of Coronado, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway, and Solana Beach. The County of San Diego's Fair Housing Program strives to reduce housing discrimination in the housing rental, sales, lending, and insurance markets on the basis of race, sex, color, religion, national origin, familial status (presence of children), or disability, in compliance with the Fair Housing Act.

On July 1, 2005, the County of San Diego exercised a one-year option to extend the Urban County Fair Housing Program Administrator contract with North County Lifeline. The contract is a collaborative effort between Heartland Human Relations, South Bay Community Services, and North County Lifeline, which serves as the lead agency. Through their joint efforts, these organizations sponsored public fair housing educational activities, fair housing outreach activities, and fair housing referral services. North County Lifeline also administered the County's Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Program. During FY 2005-06, 29 Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Program plans were submitted to the Lifeline Collaborative. CDBG funds in the amount of \$66,000 were allocated for this contract in FY 2005-06 and \$73,181.72 was expended, which includes \$28,212.56 in FY 2004-05 costs that were drawn down in FY 2005-06.

Fair housing services administered by Lifeline's Fair Housing Collaborative included the following: 1) Education - fair housing education through public seminars in the San Diego Urban County, setup and maintenance of a fair housing website, and dissemination of news articles/releases; 2) Outreach - outreach through development and distribution of fair housing brochures, and participation in regional fair housing activities; 3) Referrals - maintenance of a hotline for the receipt of fair housing complaints and fair housing referrals; and 4) Fair Housing Marketing - review and approval of developer Fair Housing Marketing Plans for new sales and provision of assistance to developers and real estate sales staff on media and advertising. Please refer to Appendix A for the FY 2005-06 Summary of Impediments and Accomplishments that describes actions taken in FY 2005-06 to address impediments to fair housing choice.

Rental Security Deposit Assistance: CDBG funds were used for a Security Deposit Program

that benefited nine households in FY 2005-06. Expenditures in FY 2005-06 totaled \$1,095.14 in CDBG funds.

Community Cleanup Activities: During FY 2005-06, \$7,000 was allocated for the Spring Valley Trash Cleanup program. FY 2005-06 expenditures total \$4,976.55. During FY 2005-06, \$5,180.24 was expended on the Lincoln Acres Household Hazardous Waste Collection Project and \$1,607.65 was expended on the Lakeside Trash Cleanup Project. In addition, \$26,000 was allocated in FY 2005-06 to a graffiti abatement program that serves the areas of Spring Valley, Lakeside, and Lincoln Acres. FY 2005-06 expenditures totaled \$6,730.61. During FY 2005-06, a total of 281 graffiti abatement jobs were completed.

Community Development Objective CD-8: Over the five-year period, the County may pursue the use of the Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program to support community and/or economic development efforts.

The County did not pursue the use of the Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program to support community and/or economic development efforts during FY 2005-06.

Community Development Objective CD-9: Over the five-year period, the County may pursue code enforcement projects.

No code enforcement projects were funded during FY 2005-06.

Community Development Objective CD-10: Provide community development within the CDBG participating cities over the five-year period, including street and sidewalk improvements, small business loans, affordable housing services, tenant/landlord mediation or counseling services, shared housing services, public facility ADA improvements, drainage improvements, and street lighting.

In FY 2005-06, \$9,000 was allocated for the City of Coronado Sidewalk ADA Ramps, however no funds were expended on this project during FY 2005-06. The City of Imperial Beach Small Business Loan program received \$25,000, however no funds were expended on this program during FY 2005-06. The City of Lemon Grove Senior Center Accessible Door project received \$4,000 and FY 2005-06 expenditures totaled \$3,665. The City of Lemon Grove Street Rehabilitation Program received \$366,819 and FY 2005-06 expenditures totaled \$237,889.61. The City of Lemon Grove Tenant/Landlord Mediation and Counseling Services program received \$9,167 and expenditures totaled \$6,048.57. A total of 48 individuals were assisted in FY 2005-06 under the Lemon Grove Tenant/Landlord Mediation and Counseling Services program. The City of Poway Park/Library ADA Barrier Removal project received \$90,000, however no funds were expended on this project during FY 2005-06. In addition, the City of Poway operated a CDBG-funded housing referral service through a non-profit, including a shared housing program, that served 67 persons in FY 2005-06. A total of \$40,000 was allocated for this program and \$32,678.38 was expended in FY 2005-06. The City of Solana Beach Castro/Gonzalez Street Sidewalks Design project received \$60,768 and expenditures totaled \$22,801.50.

1b. CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.

Please refer to the responses in 1a. above.

1c. Explain why progress was not made towards meeting the goals and objectives.

Please refer to the responses in 1a. above.

2. Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.

No major changes have been implemented as a result of our experiences during the reporting period. The County of San Diego continually monitors its progress and makes minor adjustments as necessary to keep the projects moving and to keep expenditures on target.

3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing:

a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.

b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.

3a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.

The February 2005 Regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) identifies seven region-wide impediments to fair housing choice and specific public policy impediments within each jurisdiction. The region-wide impediments relate to the following: 1) insufficient fair housing education and outreach; 2) personal credit history and financial management factors; 3) lack of housing choice and discrimination toward persons with disabilities; 4) lack of widespread testing for lead-based paint hazards; 5) lack of collaboration by local fair housing agencies; 6) differences in fair housing reporting formats among jurisdictions and lack of quantifiable goals and accomplishments; and 7) variable fair housing services within the County, including regular audits and tenant/landlord dispute resolution services.

The AI also lists various public policies, zoning provisions, and development regulations in each jurisdiction that may affect the range of housing choices. County of San Diego public policies viewed as potential impediments to fair housing choice include: 1) land use designations with either very low or no minimum density requirements; 2) zoning that does not expressly permit transitional housing or emergency shelters; and 3) lack of established zoning or planning procedures to accommodate housing for persons with disabilities.

3b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.

The following are some of the strategies recommended in the February 2005 AI report to impediments over the five-year period: 1) improved and targeted fair housing education and outreach; 2) counseling on maintaining good credit and financial management; 3) expansion of a variety of housing sizes and types to accommodate persons with disabilities and seniors; 4) regional pooling of funds for development of lists of Americans with Disabilities Act compliant apartments; 5) required lead-based paint testing for homebuyer and residential rehabilitation programs; 6) increased collaboration among fair housing providers; 7) uniformity of fair housing reporting; 8) regionally consistent fair housing services, including tenant/landlord dispute resolution; and 9) regional fair housing audits.

The AI report also recommends that all County jurisdictions consider amending their policies and regulations to address the various potential impediments identified in the report. It is suggested that this could be done as part of each jurisdiction's General Plan Housing Element

update. The AI report was forwarded to the County Department of Planning and Land Use for review and consideration.

Many of the region-wide strategies were addressed in FY 2005-06 as part of the CDBG Fair Housing Administrator contract for affirmative fair housing services. Recommendations for collaboration among County entitlement jurisdictions and expanded regional fair housing activities were implemented by actions of the Fair Housing Resource Board (FHRB). Please refer to Appendix A for a list of actions taken in FY 2005-06 to address impediments identified in the AI.

4. Describe Other Actions in Strategic Plan or Action Plan taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.

CDBG funds have been primarily used to address public facility deficiencies in lower-income neighborhoods and for services related to housing and homeless support and prevention. Proposals for community improvements are received from citizens, community-based organizations, and County departments, and the highest priority proposals are recommended for inclusion in the Annual Funding Plan. In addition, needed improvements are generated from discussions at Community Revitalization meetings and can be funded through the CDBG program. The main obstacle to meeting all the identified community needs is lack of funding.

Rental housing needs are primarily addressed through the County's NOFA process, a process that was opened for the receipt of proposals at any time during the year. The Open NOFA encourages a maximum number of affordable housing proposals, as previously there were only specific six-week windows during which proposals were accepted.

In addition, the County-administered Supportive Housing Program (SHP) develops a package of projects addressing homelessness and services for homeless persons following an intensive, prescribed process that involves local non-profit organizations serving the homeless and city government representatives. CDBG funds are used for the Supportive Housing Coordinator position and consultant services that advocate and apply for special needs housing funds and facilitate the SHP process. However, there aren't sufficient resources to meet all the needs of the community.

5. Leveraging Resources

a. Identify progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources to address needs.

b. How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.

c. How matching requirements were satisfied.

5a. Identify progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources to address needs.

5b. How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.

County staff routinely emphasizes to applicants the need to leverage federal funds with local funds to stretch the benefit of the federal dollars. These efforts have been fruitful and projects funded under CDBG, HOME, and ESG have substantially exceeded

accomplishments that could be achieved from federal funds alone. Although there is no official match requirement in the CDBG program, in most cases, other funds, such as private funds received through donors or fund raising activities, commercial loans, Gas Tax funds, and Park Land Dedication Ordinance funds, are used to supplement and defray project costs.

The following funding sources leverage the HUD Community Planning and Development (CPD) funds:

Federal Programs

Rental Assistance Program (Section 8): The Section 8 Program provides rent subsidy payments for very low-income households in privately owned rental housing units. Section 8 tenants typically pay 30 to 40 percent of their incomes for rent and utilities. The Housing Authority of the County of San Diego (HACSD) administers the program and pays the difference between the tenant's contribution and the actual rent and utility costs, up to the payment standard established by HACSD based on HUD-established Fair Market Rents.

Supportive Housing Program (SHP): SHP provides grants to improve the quality of existing shelters and transitional housing, and increases the availability of transitional housing facilities for the homeless. SHP is the primary program supporting transitional housing for the homeless. The County's 2005 SHP grant totaled approximately \$3.2 million. Utilizing more than \$7.7 million dollars in leveraging for the continuum of care, 2005 SHP awards funded 10 renewal projects and one new sponsor-based Shelter Plus Care project in East County.

Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) Program: The San Diego Regional MCC Program, developed and administered by County HCD, provides home purchase assistance to low-and moderate-income first-time homebuyers with income at or below 115% of the State Median Income. Homebuyers receive a federal income tax credit equal to 20% of the annual interest on their mortgage loan. The County's program includes all jurisdictions in the region, with the exception of the cities of San Diego, Del Mar, Solana Beach, and Oceanside. Certificates were provided to 140 low-and moderate-income households in FY 2005-06, 65 of which were issued to households at or below 80% of the regional median income.

The Federal Housing Authority-FHA: FHA insures mortgages given through conventional lenders for first-time homebuyers. These loans are good for new or resale homes.

Capital Fund (previously known as Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program-CIAP): This is a grant program for Housing Authorities that own or operate fewer than 250 housing units. The grant is based on a physical needs assessment of the agency's Public Housing. Funds are available for use on needed repairs and replacements of physical systems, improvements to meet HUD modernization, energy conservation, or long-term viability of the Public Housing units. Improvements to the Public Housing stock were carried out in FY 2005-06 with funding from the HUD Capital Fund. Among these improvements, totaling \$177,756.83, were upgrades to the living areas, parking lots, security, and common areas.

State Programs

California Department of Housing and Community Development (State HCD): State HCD administers a number of programs that provide funds that can be combined with other federal and local funds.

Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC): Federal and State tax credits are used by developers of multi-family housing in return for reserving a portion of the development for moderate-, low-, and very low-income households at affordable rents. These Federal and State tax credits are allocated by the State based on a priority scoring system. Over the years, several non-profit organizations, assisted with HCD Program funds, have received LIHTC funds. HCD will continue to encourage organizations to apply for and utilize these funds consistent with the 2005-2010 County Consortium Consolidated Plan.

CalHOME Program: This State grant of \$1 million, issued to the County through a competitive application process, provides funds for mortgage assistance to low-income first-time homebuyers. These funds supplement HOME funds and are disbursed in conjunction with the County Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program. During FY 2005-06, the Urban County Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program had total expenditures of \$1,057,952.84 and was comprised of three funding sources: \$458,976.42 HOME funds, \$458,976.42 State CalHome Mortgage Assistance funds, and \$140,000 ADDI funding. The total of \$1,057,952.84 was utilized to assist 14 low-income households in the purchase of their first homes.

The California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA): Provides below-market interest rate financing for the development of affordable, multi-family housing units. In addition, CalHFA makes loans to Californians who are first-time homebuyers.

Housing Enabled by Local Partnerships Program (HELP): The HELP program offers a 3% interest rate loan to local government agencies for locally determined affordable housing activities and priorities. Program funds must be used to directly produce affordable housing units, however, there is virtually unlimited flexibility for the local agency to determine the specific housing activity and use of the funds for acquisition, development, rehabilitation, or preservation of affordable rental or ownership housing.

State of California Multi-family Housing Program (MHP): This program provides permanent financing for affordable multi-family housing development, in the form of low-interest loans to developers for new construction, rehabilitation, acquisition and rehabilitation, or conversion of nonresidential structures.

State of California Emergency Housing Assistance Program (EHAP): This program funds emergency shelters, transitional housing, and services for homeless individuals and families. EHAP funds operating costs and support services through grants. Capital development funding is structured as forgivable loans.

Local Programs

Redevelopment Low-Income Housing Set Aside Funds: HCD leverages available federal funds with County redevelopment low-income housing set-aside funds. The County of San Diego Redevelopment Agency, created in accordance with California Community Redevelopment Law, has two project areas: the Upper San Diego River Improvement Project Area and the Gillespie Field Project Area. The Redevelopment Agency has established a Low- and Moderate-Income Housing Fund for both project areas.

On January 8, 2003, the Redevelopment Agency established a Local Rental Subsidy Program and authorized funding of \$1,530,215 to provide rental assistance to approximately 65 low-income households living in close proximity to the Gillespie Field and the Upper San Diego River Improvement Project Areas, which include Bostonia, Casa de Oro, Lakeside, Spring

Valley, and nearby communities. The Local Rental Subsidy Program is a demonstration project, with emphasis on transitioning participants into the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program. Applicants who were mobilehome owner occupants received a priority ranking on the program waiting list.

Conduit Financing Tax-Exempt Program: County Policy B-65 assists qualified County non-profit organizations by the issuance of tax-exempt bonds. The goal of this program is to assist qualified organizations where the borrowers' program will provide a clear public benefit to the community. The most significant benefit of this program is the ability to obtain tax-exempt bonds, therefore achieving the lowest market interest rate available. Other benefits include long-term financing with up to 30-year terms and use of fund proceeds for construction, remodeling/renovation, land acquisition, costs of bond issuance, and reimbursement of prior expenses related to the project.

County Density Bonus Programs: There are three density bonus programs which are administered by the County Department of Planning and Land Use: Board of Supervisors' Policy I-79, Section 4120 of the Zoning Ordinance, and Board of Supervisors' Policy I-102 of the Mobilehome Park Development Density Bonus and Land Use Element 3.8. HCD administers the occupancy requirements as they relate to eligible income and rent requirements for units developed under these programs. In conformance with State Density Bonus Law, these programs establish provisions by which densities may exceed those set by the County General Plan if the developer reserves some or all of the proposed units for various periods of time for low-income families, seniors, and households with disabled persons.

Private Resources/Financing Programs

Conventional Lending Industry: Banks have participated in providing conventional loans for development of affordable rental units. The banking industry is also active in providing first-time homebuyer assistance in conjunction with State and federal programs.

Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC): This nationally recognized non-profit affordable housing development organization provides seed money for non-profit organization capacity building and for predevelopment costs for acquisition and construction of affordable housing units.

Federal Home Loan Bank Community Investment Fund: Grants and loans are made through the Federal Home Loan Bank System, with more than 200 member savings and loan associations. Loans are made through member banks to sponsors of affordable housing and other community revitalization and development activities.

5c. How matching requirements were satisfied.

HOME Match: Please refer to Appendix B, HOME Match Report, HUD Form 40107-A.

ESG Match: The County ESG grant is small (\$208,917 in FY 2005-06); therefore, it is matched far in excess of the required minimum amount (100%). An approximate ratio of 12:1 is achieved for the activities supported by the grant in East County, as the Volunteers of America program costs about \$590,045. The North County Interfaith Council program in North County costs about \$182,127 in operations annually, thereby maintaining an approximate 2:1 ratio. The North County Solutions for Change program has total costs of \$181,331 or more than 4:1, and the Ecumenical Council of San Diego County's total program

is approximately \$80,798 or more than 2:1. The four ESG contracts are matched by applicant donations totaling \$505,912, in-kind donations totaling \$34,575, Supportive Housing Contracts totaling \$70,704, foundation grants totaling \$22,629, government contracts totaling \$30,000, and competitive grant contracts totaling \$139,106.

Managing the Process

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

During FY 2005-06, the County: (1) pursued all resources that were indicated that it would pursue; (2) provided requested certifications of consistency with HUD programs, in a fair and impartial manner, for which the County indicated it would support application by other entities; and (3) did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction. All efforts were made to operate an effective and efficient program.

All CDBG funds were expended on projects that met at least one of the three CDBG National Objectives.

During FY 2005-06, the County CDBG Program met the CDBG expenditure standard by the deadline of April 30, 2006. As of April 30, 2006, the County's balance of unexpended funds equaled 1.47 program years of funding, indicating a somewhat higher expenditure rate than the HUD-required CDBG progress requirement of 1.5. This represented an expenditure of approximately \$147,386 more than required by HUD for this program. The HOME and ESG Programs also met HUD requirements for the commitment and expenditure of funds.

CDBG housing and community development projects implemented during FY 2005-06 addressed the needs and objectives set out in the 2005-2010 Consolidated Plan. CDBG funds were distributed between activities supporting: community development, housing development, residential rehabilitation, public services, and administration. Based on the Financial Summary Report, 97.6% of the CDBG expenditures, excluding planning and administration, were used for activities that benefited low- and moderate-income persons during FY 2005-06. The remaining expenditures qualified by addressing conditions of slum and blight in Urban County communities. The CDBG regulations require that at least 70% of annual expenditures benefit lower-income people.

Citizen Participation

1. Provide a summary of citizen comments.

A Notice was published in the San Diego Union-Tribune and a Spanish translation was published in La Prensa, on September 8, 2006, to announce the availability of the FY 2005-06 San Diego Consortium Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reports (CAPER) for public review and allow a 15-day period to receive comments. The CAPER, including the IDIS List of Activities Report, Grantee Performance Report (GPR), Summary of Consolidated Plan Projects, Summary of Community Development Accomplishments Report, Financial Summary Report, and this Narrative Report, was available for citizen review.

The performance reports will also be made available to community residents during the Fall 2006 series of neighborhood public meetings. In addition, a public hearing on FY 2005-06

CAPER was held on September 19, 2006. This County Board of Supervisors' hearing provides the public with an opportunity to review and discuss FY 2005-06 accomplishments.

2. **In addition, the performance report provided to citizens must identify the Federal funds made available for furthering the objectives of the Consolidated Plan. For each formula grant program, the grantee shall identify the total amount of funds available (including estimated program income), the total amount of funds committed during the reporting period, the total amount expended during the reporting period, and the geographic distribution and location of expenditures. Jurisdictions are encouraged to include maps in describing the geographic distribution and location of investment (including areas of minority concentration). The geographic distribution and expenditure requirement may also be satisfied by specifying the census tracts where expenditures were concentrated.**

***Please note that Citizen Comments and Responses may be included as additional files within the CPMP Tool.**

CDBG:

The CDBG entitlement for FY 2005-06 was \$5,408,793. In addition, FY 2005-06 CDBG program income was estimated at \$1,200,000. The total amount of funds committed for all CDBG activities in FY 2005-06, including prior year carryover funds, was \$11,730,331.61 as of July 1, 2005. The total expenditures for FY 2005-06 were \$6,930,717.13. Of the \$6,930,717.13 expended in FY 2005-06, \$795,427.04 was from program income. FY 2005-06 sources of program income from the CDBG Program include: Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program (\$477,043.11); Poway Housing Rehabilitation (\$70,024.60); Mobilehome Occupancy Assistance Program (MOAP) (\$211,678.30); Chinaberry Apartments (\$29,386); Spring Valley Apartments (\$1,054.94); and Santa Ysabel Fire Station (\$6,240.09).

CDBG funds are distributed throughout the Urban County, which consists of the unincorporated area of the County, as well as the cities of Coronado, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway, and Solana Beach. Each year an effort is made to distribute CDBG funds across the Urban County. No specific census tracts received a concentration of the CDBG funds.

HOME:

HUD allocated \$4,230,491 in HOME funds to the County for FY 2005-06. FY 2005-06 HOME program income was estimated at \$740,000. Approximately 10% (\$423,049) was allocated to administer the program and \$1,537,979 was allocated to the HOME Consortium cities of Carlsbad, Encinitas, La Mesa, San Marcos, Santee, and Vista. The remaining \$2,269,463 was allocated to the Urban County for housing development.

The total amount of funds committed for all HOME activities in FY 2005-06, including prior year carryover funds, was \$15,575,697.84 as of July 1, 2005. The total expenditures for FY 2005-06 were \$5,605,605. In FY 2005-06, \$744,092.22 was received as program income. FY 2005-06 sources of program income from the HOME Program include: Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program (\$57,080.90); Brookview Apartments Project (\$5,590); Carlsbad Residential Rehabilitation Program (\$5,000); Urban County Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program (\$187,896.63); La Mesa Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program (\$78,737.97); Presioca Villa Apartments Project (\$29,672); Santee

First Time Homebuyer Program (\$165,440.04); Section 8 Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program (\$70,814.96); Villa Serena Apartments Project (\$15,267.72); and, White Dove Housing Project (\$128,592).

HUD allocated \$146,050 in ADDI funds to the County for FY 2005-06. The total amount of funds committed for all ADDI activities in FY 2005-06, including prior year carryover funds, was \$439,332 as of July 1, 2005. The total expenditures for FY 2005-06 were \$265,867.

HOME and ADDI funds are distributed throughout the HOME Consortium, which consists of the Urban County, as well as the cities of Carlsbad, Encinitas, La Mesa, San Marcos, Santee and Vista. Each year an effort is made to distribute HOME funds throughout the Consortium. No specific census tracts received a concentration of the HOME funds.

ESG:

During FY 2005-06, San Diego County received \$208,917 in ESG funding, of which \$10,445 was used for ESG program administration. No program income was generated under the ESG Program. The total amount of funds committed for all ESG activities in FY 2005-06, including prior year carryover funds, was \$349,170.04 as of July 1, 2005. The total expenditures for FY 2005-06 were \$159,733.25. ESG funds were distributed in four contracts, as follows: Volunteers of America in El Cajon received \$73,962 for shelter operations in East County; North County Interfaith Council in Escondido received \$57,097 for shelter operations, essential services, and homeless prevention activities in North County; North County Solutions for Change received \$39,097 for short term transitional housing operations in Vista; and the Ecumenical Council of San Diego County received \$28,316 for emergency shelter operations and essential services for a regional rotational shelter program.

Institutional Structure

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

Institutional Structures: There were no changes in the institutional structure as described in the five-year Consolidated Plan.

Intergovernmental Cooperation: The structures identified in the five-year Consolidated Plan continue to act as coordinating organizations for strengthening cooperation among the various affordable housing development agencies. The County continues to have a lead agency role in regional housing and homeless issues. HCD participates in intergovernmental activities that include the Regional Task Force on the Homeless, City/County Reinvestment Task Force, Mortgage Credit Certificate Program, Fair Housing Resources Board, Regional Assessment of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and coordination of the interagency Supportive Housing Program, Urban County CDBG Program, and HOME Consortium.

Monitoring

1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.

To ensure compliance with HUD program requirements by non-profit subrecipients, County departments, and participating/consortium cities that implement funded activities, HCD holds training sessions at least once a year where HUD requirements are reviewed. Project

managers are made aware of local, State, and federal regulations that apply to these programs. In addition, HCD provides ongoing technical assistance to subrecipients of all entitlement programs throughout the year.

All contracts for use of CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds specify monitoring, inspecting, and reporting requirements. Monitoring requirements vary by funding source. Each year, HCD selects projects for monitoring due to risk, project status, or special circumstances.

During FY 2005-06, affordable rental projects were monitored by document review of Annual Progress Reports (APRs) that include project accomplishments, information on the number of families assisted, proof of current insurance coverage, annual audits, management reports, compliance with rent restrictions, and property maintenance documents. In addition to the document review, staff conducts on-site file reviews and unit inspections to ensure that Housing Quality Standards are maintained.

Community development and public facility and infrastructure improvements were monitored by a document review of claims and supporting documentation, on-site file review, and occasionally included an on-site visit of the project.

Homeless programs were monitored by a document review of claims and supporting documentation, on-site file review, and unit inspections to ensure Housing Quality Standards were maintained.

Home repair loan program and homebuyer assistance programs were monitored through certification. The process of certification includes database queries, mailing certification notices to all open and outstanding loans, and verifying owner occupancy.

2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.

During FY 2005-06, County HCD staff monitored 57 projects, including 13 HOPWA projects. The monitored projects were funded through the following programs, in whole or in part: CDBG (25), HOME (16), CDBG/HOME (1), SHP (1), and Shelter Plus Care (1). In addition, 572 home repair loan certification letters were sent, in which 465 responses were received and 107 letters were either returned or did not respond. Forty-three Down Payment and Closing Costs Assistance Program certification letters were sent out, in which 30 responses were received and 13 letters were not returned. Of the 57 projects monitored, no major problems have been noted.

3. Self Evaluation

- a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.**
- b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.**
- c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.**
- d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.**
- e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.**
- f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.**

- g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.**
- h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.**
- i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.**

3a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.

Over the years, the entitlement programs have helped to solve various neighborhood and community problems. The CDBG Program has funded community development projects in 14 NRAs to focus funds in geographic areas with concentrations of lower-income people, where there is evidence of blighted conditions and deficiencies in public improvements and facilities. Because each community has a unique set of conditions and priorities, recommendations for funding are based on each community's needs. The County Annual Funding Plan Strategy emphasizes the physical revitalization of lower-income communities, and, therefore, there is a visible improvement in the NRAs each year. In addition, physical revitalization improves the quality of life for residents in the targeted communities.

In addition, over the years, the HCD NOFA process has funded several projects that further the development of affordable housing. Such projects included acquisition, rehabilitation, and new construction of housing partially financed with loans under the HOME and CDBG Programs. HCD's NOFA projects have made visible improvements to various communities in the County's jurisdiction as well as surrounding areas.

3b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.

Progress is steady in meeting the priority needs and objectives outlined in the five-year Consolidated Plan. Please refer to the activities described previously in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8 and the Community Development Objectives CD-1 through CD-10 for detailed information on activity status.

3c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.

During FY 2005-06, a variety of projects provided decent housing, provided a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunities. Please refer to the activities described previously in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8 and the Community Development Objectives CD-1 through CD-10 for detailed information on activity status.

3d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.

Progress is steady in meeting a majority of the priority needs and objectives outlined in the five-year Consolidated Plan. However, a few activities did not achieve their year-one goals. These include the County's Shared Housing Program and the Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program. The year-one goal for Shared Housing Program was 90 matches; however, no activity took place in FY 2005-06. The year-one goal for the Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program was 42 households served; however, only 15 households

were served. Although these activities fell short of the year-one goals, it is anticipated that the five-year goals can still be achieved over the next four years.

3e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.

The focus on housing affordability and the revitalization of the NRAs has made an impact on identified needs. Housing development projects, homeownership assistance programs, infrastructure improvements, and construction and improvement of neighborhood facilities have improved the lives of residents in the targeted communities and have created a better living environment in areas that are predominately low income.

3f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.

The HUD indicators that would best describe the results of HCD's entitlement-funded activities include the number of persons assisted, amount of money leveraged, number of affordable units, years of affordability, number of households previously living in subsidized housing and number of beds created. HCD tracks these indicators in HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS), as well as other HUD-defined indicators, by activity.

3g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.

Over the past several years, housing prices in the County of San Diego have continued to increase, while incomes remain relatively stable. In addition, the main obstacle to meeting all the identified community needs, including housing, is lack of funding. Limited funds are available through the entitlement programs and annual allocations continue to shrink. Needs are far greater than available resources.

3h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.

Major goals identified in the five-year plan are on target. CDBG, ESG, and HOME funds are approved by the Board of Supervisors prior to the start of each program year in order to expedite expenditures and keep program goals on target.

3i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

No major adjustments have been implemented as a result of our experiences during the reporting period. The County of San Diego continually monitors its progress and makes minor adjustments as necessary to keep the projects moving and to keep expenditures on target.

Lead-based Paint

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards.

In December 1999, HUD awarded a \$1 million grant to the County of San Diego for implementation of the Lead-based Paint Hazard Control Program. The effective start date of

the three-year program was January 1, 2000. Lead testing began in the fall of 2000. In April 2003, the County applied for and was later awarded a renewal grant in the amount of \$674,177 to test and remediate lead paint hazards in an additional 30 units for a total program goal of 93 units.

Under the Lead-based Paint Hazard Control Program, the County tested 254 homes and completed and cleared 172 homes of lead-based paint hazards, surpassing the total program goal of 93 units by 79 units. All funds were expended as of June 30, 2005.

The County chose not to apply for additional grant funds once it was determined that the majority of the funding was utilized in areas other than those within the County's jurisdiction. However, the County continues to support Lead-based paint hazard control efforts and stays in compliance with the Lead-based paint reduction requirements in all housing activities covered by sections 1012 and 1013 of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 and 24 CFR 35, which took effect on September 15, 2000. During the years since the regulation took effect, the Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program has implemented lead assessment and reduction practices that are required by the new lead regulations. Any property built prior to 1978 must undergo lead paint testing and if lead hazards are found, those hazards must be eliminated as a requirement of participation in the program.

During FY 2005-06, the County tested 10 homes (four single-family and six mobilehomes) and cleared eight homes of lead-based paint hazards.

HOUSING

Housing Needs

*Please also refer to the Housing Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing.

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8 and the Housing Needs Table, Appendix E.

In addition to funding of rental housing and homeownership programs, HCD funded various housing programs that are designed to maintain low-income families, seniors, and disabled persons in their homes. CDBG and HOME funds are the primary source of funds for these program activities. The County Home Repair Program provides low-interest deferred loans to low- and moderate-income households for more comprehensive home and mobilehome repairs that help to maintain and upgrade the housing stock. During FY 2005-06, 15 residential units were rehabilitated under the County Home Repair Program, including two single-family units and 13 mobilehomes. The County will continue its efforts to upgrade and preserve existing affordable housing stock through its rehabilitation and rental housing development activities.

Specific Housing Objectives

- 1. Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.**

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8 and the Housing Needs Table, Appendix E.

- 2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.**

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8 and the Housing Needs Table, Appendix E.

- 3. Describe efforts to address “worst-case” housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.**

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8 and the Housing Needs Table, Appendix E.

Public Housing Strategy

- 1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve Public Housing and resident initiatives.**

Public and Other Assisted Housing Projects: The Housing Authority of the County of San Diego (HACSD) owns and administers four Public Housing rental complexes located in the City of Chula Vista, with a total of 121 units. The units are available to low-income families, senior citizens, and disabled persons. In FY 2005-06, the HACSD executed a new property management contract effective July 1, 2005. The property management company has a fixed-price contract that provides for property management, routine maintenance, and eligibility activities. In addition, the contract has a cost reimbursement component for Capital Funds Projects, and emergency and extraordinary repairs. This contract award is expected to contain Public Housing costs, provide better services, and centralize services for the Public Housing residents.

Improvements to the Public Housing stock were carried out in FY 2005-06 with funding from the HUD Capital Fund. Among these improvements, totaling \$177,756.83, were upgrades to the living areas, parking lots, security, and common areas.

HACSD also owns two rent-restricted housing projects funded through a variety of programs, including the FHA Section 515 program. These projects are located in the cities of Solana Beach and San Marcos. Public and rent-restricted housing projects owned by HACSD are committed as long-term affordable housing.

Public Housing Resident Initiatives: In 2002, the HACSD established a Public Housing Resident Advisory Board for the four conventional Public Housing developments. The Board is composed of Public Housing residents and Section 8 rental assistance participants. Their major role is review of the Public Housing Agency Plans. The Tenant Commissioners who serve on the Housing Authority Board of Commissioners are recruited from the Resident Advisory Board.

In 2003, the Housing Authority was awarded a \$100,000 Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency (ROSS) Elderly Grant. The program was designed to provide seniors and persons with disabilities with education and access to information that will improve their ability to obtain needed support services that foster dignity and promote independent living. The recipients of the ROSS-Elderly Grant were the Public Housing residents at TownCentre Manor located in Chula Vista. The ROSS Elderly grant expended a total of \$87,022.74 before the grant term expired on March 6, 2006. The HACSD, working with the County's Aging and Independent Services personnel, conducted the following activities during the term of the grant: 1) the Program Coordinator met individually with 74 TownCentre Manor residents to conduct resident assessments; 2) the Program Coordinator issued 56 Individualized Service Plans to identify resident needs; 3) 21, on-site Informational Outreach Sessions were conducted for the residents; 4) an on-site, Feeling Fit Program was conducted at TownCentre Manor; 5) necessary exercise equipment was procured for the Feeling Fit Program; 6) transportation vouchers were procured and distributed to the residents at TownCentre Manor; and 7) 70 Feeling Fit Program videos and exercise dynabands were procured and distributed to the TownCentre Manor residents.

Outcomes of the ROSS Elderly Grant include: 1) 52% of the residents reported an increase in access to existing support services; 2) 35% of the residents reported an increased participation in support networks and/or needed services since the grant inception; 3) 52% of the residents reported improved understanding of senior services; and, 4) 100% of the residents responding to the Outcome Assessment instrument reported satisfaction with the information and services provided by the ROSS-Elderly Grant.

Through a joint effort with the San Ysidro Health Center, the HACSD launched, in 2004, a Healthy Connection program that provides accessible, comprehensive primary healthcare and supportive services to 117 low-income Public Housing residents. The Healthy Connections Program continues to provide services such as: preventative health screenings, vision, hearing, dental screenings, immunizations, pregnancy tests, follow-up care, and health education classes.

In 2004, the HACSD in collaboration with ACCESS Inc., an organization funded through the Work Force Investment Act, opened a 12-station computer literacy center at its Dorothy Street Public Housing complex. The computer-learning center continues to provide on-site literacy instruction to adults and youth residing in the County's Public Housing and other County-funded affordable housing complexes.

In 2005, the HACSD was awarded its first Resident Opportunities and Self-Sufficiency Family grant. The program was designed in collaboration with the University of California San Diego to provide educational services that include: parent education sessions that teach parents how to ensure their child's success in the school system, personalized academic coaching, and an educational enrichment program that encourages reading and writing for young children.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

The most evident market constraints on the provision of adequate and affordable housing are land costs, construction costs, and access to financing. The County and individual cities have little influence over market constraints, but can provide incentives or assistance to overcome the impacts of market constraints. Major governmental constraints to affordable housing development include land use policies governed by the Land Use Element of jurisdictions' General Plans; zoning and development codes and development and planning fees imposed by the County and individual cities; and growth management policies.

Periodic community and subregional plan updates are now part of the County planning process and these incorporate Housing Element data, regional shared goals, and vacant land survey information into the review process. The County is now developing General Plan 2020 (GP 2020), an update to the General Plan that increases and concentrates residential density along transportation and service corridors and reduces sprawl in the undeveloped backcountry. Planning for these changes will continue and GP 2020 is expected to be adopted sometime in 2007.

County fees are determined by the cost to the County for processing permits. These permit-processing fees are a full-cost recovery system with the intention that the developer (rather than the County) bears the cost of processing required applications. The costs of these permits are often passed on to the consumer in the form of higher housing prices. However, the County has taken steps to reduce the costs of processing residential building permits. In April 1999, the Board of Supervisors adopted fee reductions for residential building permits; development fees in the unincorporated area were reduced by 25 percent to 44 percent.

The 1999-2004 Housing Element for the County of San Diego contains an inventory of vacant residential sites in the unincorporated area. The Element shows that there are adequate residential sites designated at appropriate densities to accommodate the County's share of the regional housing need. Policy 1 of the Housing Element avows the County's commitment to facilitate affordable housing development by continuing to identify adequate sites with appropriate zoning, development standards, and adequate public infrastructure and services.

Policy 11 of the 1999-2004 Housing Element for the County affirms proactive implementation of the County's density bonus programs in order to facilitate the development of housing that will be made affordable to very-low, low-income, and senior households. Density bonus developments are subject to discretionary review for consistency with zoning, potential environmental impacts, and compatibility with adjacent developments.

Although the County has made substantial efforts in recent years to reduce time and costs required for processing permits, the consideration and resolution of complex issues involved in some developments can be costly. The 1999-2004 Housing Element for the County of San Diego includes a policy (Policy 23) to expedite the processing of permit applications for housing developments that reserve a portion or all of the units as housing affordable to low-income households.

The County will continue to collaborate with non-profit organizations in the development of affordable housing. In order to achieve this objective, County Housing Element policies have

been recommended to make financial resources available to non-profit entities. The County Housing Element contains 23 policies to guide the development of affordable housing and housing for all segments of the population. Although in the process of being updated, the “County of San Diego Housing Element 1999-2004” can be obtained from the County Department of Planning and Land Use, 5201 Ruffin Road, San Diego, CA 92123.

HOME/ American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI)

- 1. Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives**
 - a. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.**

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8 and the Housing Needs Table, Appendix E.

- 2. HOME Match Report**
 - a. Use HOME Match Report HUD-40107-A to report on match contributions for the period covered by the Consolidated Plan program year.**

Please refer to Appendix B.

- 3. HOME MBE and WBE Report**
 - a. Use Part III of HUD Form 40107 to report contracts and subcontracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women’s Business Enterprises (WBEs).**

Please refer to Appendix C.

- 4. Assessments**
 - a. Detail results of on-site inspections of rental housing.**
 - b. Describe the HOME jurisdiction’s affirmative marketing actions.**
 - c. Describe outreach to minority and women owned businesses.**

On-site inspections of rental housing: During FY 2005-06, affordable rental projects were monitored by document review of APRs that include project accomplishments, information on the number of families assisted, proof of current insurance coverage, annual audits, management reports, compliance with rent restrictions, and property maintenance documents that are submitted to the department annually. In addition to the document review, staff conducted on-site file reviews and unit inspections to ensure that Housing Quality Standards are maintained.

During FY 2005-06, HCD monitored 57 projects (including 13 HOPWA projects). Of those, five HOME rental housing projects and one CDBG/HOME rental housing project was monitored. Of the projects monitored in FY 2005-06, no major problems have been noted.

Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Program: Affirmative marketing steps consist of actions to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons in the housing market area to available housing without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status, or disability. To do this, the County has contracted with North County Lifeline to serve as its

Fair Housing Program Administrator. North County Lifeline has created Lifeline's Fair Housing Collaborative with Heartland Human Relations and Fair Housing Association and South Bay Community Services to provide fair housing services. Through their joint efforts, these organizations sponsor public fair housing educational activities, fair housing outreach activities, and fair housing referral activities. North County Lifeline's Fair Housing Collaborative also administers and monitors all County fair housing efforts, including the County's Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Program. This program requires that all housing developers prepare a marketing plan. The Lifeline Collaborative counsels owners on items needed to be included in the plans and reviews and approves the plans. During FY 2005-06, 29 Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Program plans were submitted to the Lifeline Collaborative.

Minority/Women's Business Outreach: The County has a minority outreach program for projects funded by the entitlement programs within its jurisdiction that ensures the inclusion, to the maximum extent possible, of minorities and women, and entities owned by minorities and women. Certain procedures are in place during contracting and project implementation to assure that minority business enterprises and women business enterprises are used when possible in the procurement of property and services. These include encouragement of contracting with minority and women's businesses written into all bid packages and published notices soliciting contractors for work on HUD-funded community development projects, as well as statements in the Notices of Funding Availability for affordable housing development. In addition, informational materials are distributed at the HCD Subrecipient Training Workshops held each year for non-profit organizations, County project implementing departments, and participating cities.

The County also includes Section 3 provisions of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1968 in both its NOFAs to all housing sponsors and in any contracts using CDBG or HOME funds. Subrecipient training workshops also cover the requirements of Section 3 for those receiving federal funds.

HOMELESS

Homeless Needs

*Please also refer to the Homeless Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

- 1. Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.**
- 2. Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.**
- 3. Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.**

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Homeless Objectives Hm-1 through Hm-2 and the Homeless Needs Table, Appendix F. Please note that the Homeless Needs Table identifies numbers from all Continuum of Care activities, which includes ESG activities and the CDBG-funded Cold Weather Shelter Voucher Program.

San Diego's homeless service providers face unique challenges in meeting the prevention, outreach, and supportive needs of a diverse homeless population. The service area covers a

geographic mass of more than 4,280 square miles of desert, mountain, and coastal areas. The homeless population is spread across five distinct county sub-regions designated as central, north inland, north coastal, east, and south bay. Homeless service providers are faced with continual budgetary and fiscal constraints while simultaneously having to address the special needs of the chronically homeless and steady influx of poor immigrants.

For the past 12 years, the County has served as the coordination and communication link in the development of the Regional Continuum of Care Council (RCCC) and the regional SHP application. States, local governments, private non-profit organizations, and other eligible applicants compete for McKinney-Vento grant funds through a national selection process. Eligible activities include acquisition, rehabilitation, construction, leasing of facilities to serve the homeless, operating costs, and supportive services. Certain activities require local matching funds. Grants are entirely competitive and applications must meet strict HUD requirements. The County's 2005 SHP grant totaled approximately \$3.2 million. Utilizing more than \$7.7 million dollars in leveraging for the continuum of care, 2005 SHP awards funded 10 renewal projects and 1 new sponsor-based Shelter Plus Care project in East County. The following is a summary of the Continuum of Care accomplishments to June 30, 2006, as described in the SHP Application submitted on June 6, 2006.

As a result of regional planning and the geographic differences of the region, two consortium areas were defined, the City of San Diego and the County of San Diego. As a direct result of this coordinated, region-wide planning effort, the RCCC has been able to achieve several accomplishments in the past year. These include:

- Expanded Continuum of Care beds for the homeless by 430 beds.
- Created and funded the planning infrastructure for the Plan to End Chronic Homelessness (PTECH).
- Increased access to mainstream resources dedicated to the Chronically Homeless.
- Expanded engagement and outreach programs to the Chronically Homeless.
- Expanded support services that expedite transition to permanent housing.

Specific Homeless Prevention Elements

1. Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

Viable employment opportunities are central to the prevention of homelessness. Local agencies, government resources, and higher education institutions coordinate job training and employment placement services, including services for the general homeless, veterans, youth, and disabled citizens. Many entities provide employment-related training and job search assistance. Geographically dispersed one-stop career centers offer free training and job placement. Many agencies provide job assistance to homeless participants through coordinated case management. In addition, the County continues to promote a "Work First" model, which links individuals to appropriate resources for securing employment and foster career building.

Housing Authorities in the region play a pivotal role in assisting individuals with housing challenges by providing rental assistance, first time homebuyer programs, and housing rehab programs. Additionally, each authority administers HUD monies related to Shelter Plus Care and Section 8, and links individuals to various programs and resources related to housing obtainment. Numerous pamphlets and information are published and distributed to individuals and to agencies that serve the homeless as another effort to assist in the

prevention of homelessness by providing reliable consistent resources for securing housing opportunities.

The Regional Task Force on the Homeless serves as a clearinghouse for information on homeless resources. This source is also used to maintain and disseminate an updated annual user-friendly manual that describes, in detail, facilities and services for homeless persons throughout San Diego County. Several organizations provide rental and mortgage assistance for families experiencing a financial emergency that could jeopardize their housing stability.

Providers in San Diego County's Continuum of Care maintain coordination and collaborative efforts within the region, to improve communication and provide updated and accurate information on services and resources available for at-risk families and individuals. Liaisons to a variety of community forums assist in coordinating the efforts of the RCCC with other local efforts. The RCCC advises local providers of available resources, and continues to engage in efforts to preserve affordable housing units in the community.

Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)

1. Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).

ESG grants support a segment of the Continuum of Care activities including outreach, emergency shelter, transitional housing, and essential services. Programs target special chronic homeless populations such as veterans, seriously mentally ill, persons with HIV/AIDS, chronic substance and/or alcohol abusers, encampment dwellers, youth, and victims of domestic violence.

During the reporting year, outreach efforts of agencies participating in the San Diego RCCC consisted of identifying and engaging chronic homeless persons and families at risk who have not been able to access emergency shelters, emergency housing, and services. Efforts also included targeting those who resist such assistance, which can be identified as both proactive and responsive activities. The RCCC's ongoing outreach plan coordinates efforts focusing on all regions of the County, as well as very specific identified areas where homeless individuals might be residing.

Emergency shelter activities within the continuum include 1,613 emergency shelter beds and 1,186 transitional housing beds. Of these, the majority serves persons with disabilities and other subpopulations. Coordination activities throughout the Continuum of Care help to ensure a smooth transition from emergency to transitional housing.

During this reporting period, transitional housing with essential service activities helped provide 1,186 year round beds to an array of subpopulations and responded to the special needs of 1,243 Katrina evacuees.

2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives

- a. Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.**
- b. Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and**

types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Homeless Objectives Hm-1 through Hm-2 and the Homeless Needs Table, Appendix F. Please note that the Homeless Needs Table identifies numbers from all Continuum of Care activities, which includes ESG activities and the CDBG-funded Cold Weather Shelter Voucher Program.

The goal of the ESG program is to fund services that address various causes of homelessness, including lack of supportive services, insufficient income, and lack of affordable housing. During this reporting period, the goal was to serve an estimated 500 persons. The goal was exceeded, as 624 persons were served during the reporting period.

In conjunction with providing emergency shelter beds, ESG funds also leverage support services for special needs clients. Along with essential services, goals included provision of case management, childcare, mental health care, and substance abuse treatment. Approximately \$142,000 in ESG funds were used to provide the essential services and operations.

In order to achieve the ESG goals, outreach to all populations is critical. Outreach to special needs populations includes street outreach and canvassing, testing and counseling services, and walk-in services at several locations for homeless persons experiencing substance abuse problems.

Street canvassing activities facilitated by local community-based agencies target persistently chronic homeless individuals. Annual stand down events bring together hundreds of homeless individuals and service providers to facilitate legal help, advocacy, referrals, and other opportunities to forward information on available emergency services. Some agencies also provide two-person outreach teams that visit homeless areas to encourage conversation and offer referrals to beds and other services. By conducting this type of outreach, the team develops a rapport with chronic homeless persons and families at risk. Overtime, these individuals and families become less resistant to accepting assistance. Outreach activities at women's centers are structured to connect the female homeless with supportive services that will assist them with job acquisition and retention.

Emergency access assistance for the homeless mentally ill is provided by the Crisis Team, a hotline for crisis intervention and referral services. Law enforcement personnel often come in contact with homeless persons on the street and subsequently refer them to emergency shelters and services. In addition, private hospitals as well as the County Health Services and Community Health Clinic sites are additional points of contact.

3. Matching Resources

- a. Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.**

The County ESG grant is small (\$208,917 in FY 2005-06), therefore it is matched far in excess of the required minimum amount (100%). An approximate ratio of 12:1 is achieved for the activities supported by the grant in East County, as the Volunteers of America program costs approximately \$590,045. The North County Interfaith Council program in North County costs approximately \$182,127 in operations annually, thereby maintaining an approximate 2:1 ratio. The North County Solutions for Change program in Vista has total

costs of \$181,331 or more than 4:1, and the Ecumenical Council of San Diego County's regional program is approximately \$80,798 or more than 2:1. The above ESG grants are matched by applicant donations totaling \$505,912, in kind totaling \$34,575, Supportive Housing Contracts totaling \$70,704, foundation grants totaling \$22,629, government contracts totaling \$30,000, and competitive grant contracts totaling \$139,106.

4. State Method of Distribution

- a. States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private non-profit organizations acting as subrecipients.**

HCD released an ESG NOFA and made ESG funds available for: homeless prevention activities, essential services for the homeless, rehabilitating, renovating, or converting facilities, and operating emergency shelters. The NOFA was released on August 1, 2005.

Program proposals were reviewed and scored according to program location, financial feasibility, leveraging of other funding sources, services to be provided, and the ability of the program sponsor to successfully implement the services and provide a dollar for dollar match. The programs funded under the ESG NOFA (2005-06) received a one-year contract with the County, with an option to extend the contract for an additional year (2006-07), based upon performance and funding availability.

ESG funds are allocated to the San Diego Urban County (Urban County), which consists of the unincorporated area and the cities of Coronado, Del Mar, Imperial Beach, Lemon Grove, Poway, and Solana Beach. ESG programs must be located within the jurisdiction of the Urban County or serve residents of the Urban County.

During FY 2005-06 ESG funds were distributed in four contracts, as follows: Volunteers of America in El Cajon received \$73,962 for shelter operations in East County; North County Interfaith Council in Escondido received \$57,097 for shelter operations, essential services, and homeless prevention activities in North County; North County Solutions for Change received \$39,097 for short term transitional housing operations in Vista; and the Ecumenical Council of San Diego County received \$28,316 for emergency shelter operations and essential services.

All contracts were committed by December 31, 2005 and will be fully expended in accordance with ESG critical deadlines cited at 24 CFR 576.35 (b). ESG expenditures in FY 2005-06 resulted in shelter benefits to an average of 624 unduplicated homeless persons. VOA, NCIC, and NCSC provided 60 beds during FY 2005-06. In addition, ECSDC provided an average of 54 beds during FY 2005-06.

The ESG Program goal was to serve an estimated 500 persons during this reporting period. The FY 2005-06 goal was exceeded, as 624 persons were served. Please note that the Homeless Needs Table, Appendix F reflects goals, data, and information for the entire Continuum of Care. Emergency Shelter Grant funded beds are included in that inventory.

5. Activity and Beneficiary Data

- a. Completion of attached Emergency Shelter Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.**

FY 2005-06, ESG activity expenditures were as follows:

- Homeless Prevention Activities \$7,591.46
- Essential Services \$23,210.66
- Operations \$118,486.13
- Administration \$10,445
- Total FY 2005-06 ESG Expenditures \$159,733.25

The ESG Program did not experience any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of beneficiary data. ESG Program staff continuously monitor contractor performance through the monthly claims process, through quarterly reports, and by conducting periodic site visits.

a. Homeless Discharge Coordination

- i. As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.**
- b. Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.**

Efforts to develop comprehensive policies and plans for discharge from public systems of care are being pursued strategically. Progress includes:

- A discharge planning work group was initiated consisting of County, City, and non-profit agency staff. The group began the process for achieving a memoranda of understanding with departments responsible for public systems of care to assist in the prevention of homelessness;
- A work plan identifying responsible parties has been developed to survey and analyze existing discharge policies and protocols to reveal gaps and challenges for effective discharge planning;
- Review of discharge policies and protocols from other communities for effectiveness and potential replication in San Diego was initiated; and
- Technical expertise from the Corporation for Supportive Housing has been acquired in an effort to identify housing models best suited to enhance discharge policies and protocols.

In addition, accomplishments include implementation of mainstream services for youth emancipating out of the foster care system, families in the welfare system, and ex-offenders. The County of San Diego has established a Safe Housing Coordinator position whose primary responsibility is to identify resources and develop programs for youth exiting from foster care, and families at risk of homelessness with active dependency cases. Funding for this position is a collaborative effort between the County's Health and Human Services Agency, Public Safety Group and HCD. Utilizing CDBG funds, the County has also hired qualified experts to assist the region in its discharge planning efforts and to ensure that the work plan developed by the planning group will be vigorously enacted. In addition, collaborative efforts with Community Connections, an agency recognized in the State of California for its pre-release work in prisons and jails, will assist in the jail release planning

process. The Discharge Planning Group has also provided recommendations to be incorporated into San Diego's Regional Plan to End Chronic Homelessness.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development

*Please also refer to the Community Development Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. **Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives**
 - a. **Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.**
 - b. **Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.**
 - c. **Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.**

Please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-1 through H-8, Community Development Objectives CD-1 through CD-10, the Housing Needs Table Appendix E, and the Community Development Table Appendix H.

Based on the Financial Summary Report, 97.6% of the CDBG expenditures, excluding planning and administration, were used for activities that benefited low- and moderate-income persons during FY 2005-06. The remaining expenditures qualified by addressing conditions of slum and blight in Urban County communities. The CDBG regulations require that at least 70% of annual expenditures benefit lower income people.

2. **Changes in Program Objectives**
 - a. **Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.**

The CDBG Program objectives have not changed during the last year.

3. **Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions**
 - a. **Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.**
 - b. **Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.**
 - c. **Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.**

The County of San Diego pursued all resources that were indicated that it would pursue in the Consolidated Plan. The County provided requested certifications of consistency with HUD programs, in a fair and impartial manner, for which the County indicated it would support applications by other entities. In addition, the County did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction. All efforts were made to operate an effective and efficient program.

4. For Funds Not Used for National Objectives

- a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.**
- b. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not comply with overall benefit certification.**

All CDBG funds were expended on projects that met at least one of the three CDBG National Objectives. Based on the Financial Summary Report, 97.6% of the CDBG expenditures, excluding planning and administration, were used for activities that benefited low- and moderate-income persons during FY 2005-06. The remaining expenditures qualified by addressing conditions of slum and blight in Urban County communities. The CDBG regulations require that at least 70% of annual expenditures benefit lower income people.

5. Anti-displacement and Relocation – for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property

- a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.**
- b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or non-profit organizations who occupied properties subject to the Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.**
- c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or non-profit organizations.**

5a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.

The County, at a minimum, takes the following steps to minimize direct and indirect displacement of persons from their homes:

- 1. Stage rehabilitation of apartment units, where possible, to allow tenants to remain in the building/complex during and after rehabilitation, working with empty units first;
- 2. Arrange for facilities to house persons who must be relocated temporarily during rehabilitation;
- 3. Arrange for acceptable replacement units;
- 4. Arrange for appropriate advisory services at the levels described in 49 CFR part 24, including advanced written notice of the date and approximate duration of temporary relocation; location of a suitable, decent, safe, and sanitary dwelling to be made available for the temporary period; and the terms and conditions under which a tenant may lease and occupy a suitable, decent, safe, and sanitary dwelling in the building/complex upon completion of the project. In addition, agencies must offer all residential displaced persons transportation to temporary replacement housing; and
- 5. Adopt an appeals process for those persons who disagree with the determination concerning whether they qualify as a displaced person, or the amount of relocation assistance for which they may be eligible, including the opportunity to file a written appeal of that determination with the County. A low-income person who is dissatisfied with the County's determination of his or her appeal may submit a written request for review of that determination to the HUD field office.

5b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or non-profit organizations who occupied properties subject to the Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.

All replacement housing will be provided within three years after commencement of the demolition or conversion. Before entering into a contract committing the County to provide funds for a project that will directly result in demolition or conversion, the County will make public, through a Notice of Availability that references a project description available at the County Department of Housing and Community Development, and submit to HUD the following information in writing:

1. Description of proposed assisted project;
2. Address, number of bedrooms, and map of location of lower-income housing that will be lost as result of the project;
3. Time schedule for start and completion of demolition or conversion;
4. To the extent known, address, number of bedrooms, and map of location of replacement housing that has been or will be provided;
5. Source of funding and time schedule for provision of replacement housing;
6. Basis for concluding replacement housing will remain lower-income housing for at least 10 years from date of initial occupancy; and,
7. Information demonstrating that any proposed replacement of housing units, that are different in size from those units lost, is appropriate and consistent with housing needs and priorities identified in the approved Consolidated Plan.

To the extent that the specific location of the replacement housing and other data are not available at the time of submission, the County will identify the general location of such housing on a map and complete the disclosure and submission as soon as data are available.

HCD is responsible for tracking the replacement of lower-income housing and ensuring that it is provided within the required period. Relocation payments and other relocation assistance, to any lower-income person displaced by the demolition or conversion of lower-income housing to another use, will be provided by the subrecipient of HOME or CDBG funds for the assisted project. No waiver for relocation assistance will be accepted.

5c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or non-profit organizations.

Appropriate advisory services, including reasonable advanced written notice of (i) the date and approximate duration of the temporary relocation; (ii) the address of the suitable, decent, safe, and sanitary dwelling to be made available for the temporary period; (iii) the terms and conditions under which the tenant may lease and occupy a suitable, decent, safe, and sanitary dwelling in the building/complex upon completion of the project; and (iv) the provisions in the Notice of Nondisplacement in which persons who are not to be displaced must be provided a notice explaining the reasonable terms and conditions under which they may lease and occupy the property upon the completion of the acquisition or rehabilitation. This notice is to be provided as soon as possible. In addition, agencies must offer all residential displaced persons transportation to temporary replacement housing.

Three activities that were awarded funds in FY 2005-06, involving new construction, acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition of occupied real property, will require temporary relocation of residents. These three projects involve the temporary relocation of tenants

during CDBG- and HOME-funded new construction or rehabilitation of multi-family housing projects. Temporary relocation will be required due to termite treatments or demolition of existing units. Detailed relocation plans will be initiated by the developers before relocation occurs.

- 6. Low/Mod Job Activities – for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons**
 - a. Describe actions taken by grantee and businesses to ensure first consideration was or will be given to low/mod persons.**
 - b. List by job title of all the permanent jobs created/retained and those that were made available to low/mod persons.**
 - c. If any of jobs claimed as being available to low/mod persons require special skill, work experience, or education, provide a description of steps being taken or that will be taken to provide such skills, experience, or education.**

No economic development activities undertaken in FY 2005-06 involved the creation of jobs to meet the CDBG National Objective of lower-income benefit.

- 7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit**
 - a. Describe how the nature, location, or other information demonstrates the activities benefit a limited clientele at least 51% of whom are low- and moderate-income.**

One project undertaken in FY 2005-06 qualified as a limited-clientele, lower-income benefit activity by the nature, location, and other information about the project. This was the First-Time Homebuyer Education and Counseling Program that provides information and assistance to renters who are interested in becoming homeowners. It was determined that due to the nature and location of the homeownership workshops and counseling sessions, the project qualifies as a limited-clientele, low-income activity, as per CFR 570.208(A)(2)(i)(D). During FY 2005-06, the Urban County first-time Homebuyer Education and Counseling Program held 26 courses in the Urban County providing benefits to 298 persons. Of the 298 persons served, 218 persons served reported incomes at or below 80% of the AMI.

- 8. Program income received**
 - a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.**
 - b. Detail the amount repaid on each float-funded activity.**
 - c. Detail all other loan repayments broken down by the categories of housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other.**
 - d. Detail the amount of income received from the sale of property by parcel.**

8a. Program Income was returned to the specific activity generating the revenue, and was spent before the entitlement allocation, with the following exceptions: 1) the Mobilehome Occupant Assistance Program and CDBG housing development residual receipts revenues, were allocated to the CDBG Housing Development Fund; and 2) the first \$250,000 of Residential Rehabilitation revenues in excess of Residential Rehabilitation expenditures was

allocated back to the Residential Rehabilitation Program and excess revenues beyond that amount were allocated to the CDBG Housing Development Fund.

The following describes FY 2005-06 CDBG program income:

- FY 2005-06 CDBG Program Income totaled \$795,427.04.
- FY 2005-06 sources of program income from the CDBG Program include: Urban County Residential Rehabilitation Program (\$477,043.11); Poway Housing Rehabilitation (\$70,024.60); Mobilehome Occupancy Assistance Program (MOAP) (\$211,678.30); Chinaberry Apartments (\$29,386); Spring Valley Apartments (\$1,054.94); and Santa Ysabel Fire Station (\$6,240.09).

8b. Not applicable. There are no float-funded activities.

8c. Please refer to the response under 8a. above.

8d. Not applicable. The County of San Diego did not sell any CDBG-funded properties owned by the County during the reporting period.

9. Prior period adjustments – where reimbursement was made this reporting period for expenditures (made in previous reporting periods) that have been disallowed, provide the following information:

- a. The activity name and number as shown in IDIS;
- b. The program year(s) in which the expenditure(s) for the disallowed activity(ies) was reported;
- c. The amount returned to line-of-credit or program account; and
- d. Total amount to be reimbursed and the time period over which the reimbursement is to be made, if the reimbursement is made with multi-year payments.

No CDBG reimbursements were made this reporting period for previous reporting period expenditures which were disallowed.

10. Loans and other receivables

- a. List the principal balance for each float-funded activity outstanding as of the end of the reporting period and the date(s) by which the funds are expected to be received.
- b. List the total number of other loans outstanding and the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period.
- c. List separately the total number of outstanding loans that are deferred or forgivable, the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period, and the terms of the deferral or forgiveness.
- d. Detail the total number and amount of loans made with CDBG funds that have gone into default and for which the balance was forgiven or written off during the reporting period.
- e. Provide a List of the parcels of property owned by the grantee or its subrecipients that have been acquired or improved using CDBG funds and that are available for sale as of the end of the reporting period.

10a. Not applicable. There are no float-funded activities.

10b. Outstanding CDBG-funded loans include: 1) housing rehabilitation loans – there are 633 deferred loans outstanding, the balance of these loans totals \$5,075,013.47 as of June 30, 2006; and 2) NOFA housing development loans – there are 22 deferred loans outstanding,

principal balance totals \$12,940,104.21 as of June 30, 2006. Terms of deferred loans vary by project and funding amount.

10c. Please refer to the response under 10b. above.

10d. Not applicable. No CDBG loans have gone into default.

10e. Not applicable. No properties owned by the County or its subrecipients and funded by CDBG are currently available for sale.

11. Lump sum agreements

- a. Provide the name of the financial institution.**
- b. Provide the date the funds were deposited.**
- c. Provide the date the use of funds commenced.**
- d. Provide the percentage of funds disbursed within 180 days of deposit in the institution.**

Not applicable.

12. Housing Rehabilitation – for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year

- a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.**
- b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.**
- c. Detail other public and private funds involved in the project.**

During FY 2005-06, 15 residential units were rehabilitated under the Urban County CDBG-funded Residential Rehabilitation Program, including two single-family units and 13 mobilehomes. CDBG funds spent during FY 2005-06 totaled \$92,610.00. The Urban County CDBG-funded Residential Rehabilitation Program does not currently require a match of other public or private funds. Program participants may utilize other private funding to leverage the CDBG funds; however, additional funding sources are not tracked by HCD.

In addition, please refer to the activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objective H-1 for a description of the multi-family rental rehabilitation activities in FY 2005-06.

13. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies – for grantees that have HUD-approved neighborhood revitalization strategies

- a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.**

Not applicable.

Antipoverty Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

The County currently has a variety of programs and policies in place to help reduce the number of households with incomes below the poverty line. Two leading causes of poverty are low-income earning capability and low educational attainment or job skills. These are often addressed by programs that combine education and training with job search preparation for the individual, and also by offering tax incentives to employers. The policies and programs aim to improve coordination and collaboration among County departments, participating cities, and non-profit agencies that provide health, social, employment training, legal assistance, and other support services for low-income persons.

An example of these programs is the CalWORKs program, which is coordinated by the County's Health and Human Services Agency. The CalWORKs program is designed to move welfare recipients from dependency to self-sufficiency through employment and to divert potential recipients from dependency. The program's goal is to identify sufficient employment opportunities with sustainable income levels for people transitioning off of welfare. Job-related education and training is provided through the County, private industry, and educational institutions. These education and training services are designed to provide short-term, targeted training services with a vocational focus to welfare applicants or recipients. A flexible interrelated regional training system is part of this program, and was developed in collaboration with training and education providers based on current market demands and employers.

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

Non-homeless Special Needs

*Please also refer to the Non-homeless Special Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing, (including persons with HIV/AIDS and their families).

Please refer to the HOME TBRA activities described in the General Section under the Housing Objectives H-2 and the Non-Homeless Special Needs Table, Appendix G.

Specific HOPWA Objectives

*Please also refer to the HOPWA Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Overall Assessment of Relationship of HOPWA Funds to Goals and Objectives

Grantees should demonstrate through the CAPER and related IDIS reports the progress they are making at accomplishing identified goals and objectives with HOPWA funding. Grantees should demonstrate:

- a. That progress is being made toward meeting the HOPWA goal for providing affordable housing using HOPWA funds and other resources for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through a comprehensive community plan;

- b. That community-wide HIV/AIDS housing strategies are meeting HUD's national goal of increasing the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS;
 - c. That community partnerships between State and local governments and community-based non-profits are creating models and innovative strategies to serve the housing and related supportive service needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;
 - d. That through community-wide strategies Federal, State, local, and other resources are matched with HOPWA funding to create comprehensive housing strategies;
 - e. That community strategies produce and support actual units of housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS; and finally,
 - f. That community strategies identify and supply related supportive services in conjunction with housing to ensure the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families are met.
- 2. This should be accomplished by providing an executive summary (1-5 pages) that includes:
 - a. Grantee Narrative
 - i. Grantee and Community Overview
 - (1) A brief description of your organization, the area of service, the name of each project sponsor and a broad overview of the range/type of housing activities and related services
 - (2) How grant management oversight of project sponsor activities is conducted and how project sponsors are selected
 - (3) A description of the local jurisdiction, its need, and the estimated number of persons living with HIV/AIDS
 - (4) A brief description of the planning and public consultations involved in the use of HOPWA funds including reference to any appropriate planning document or advisory body
 - (5) What other resources were used in conjunction with HOPWA funded activities, including cash resources and in-kind contributions, such as the value of services or materials provided by volunteers or by other individuals or organizations
 - (6) Collaborative efforts with related programs including coordination and planning with clients, advocates, Ryan White CARE Act planning bodies, AIDS Drug Assistance Programs, homeless assistance programs, or other efforts that assist persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.
 - ii. Project Accomplishment Overview
 - (1) A brief summary of all housing activities broken down by three types: emergency or short-term rent, mortgage or utility payments to prevent homelessness; rental assistance; facility based housing, including development cost, operating cost for those facilities and community residences
 - (2) The number of units of housing which have been created through acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction since 1993 with any HOPWA funds
 - (3) A brief description of any unique supportive service or other service delivery models or efforts
 - (4) Any other accomplishments recognized in your community due to the use of HOPWA funds, including any projects in

developmental stages that are not operational.

- iii. **Barriers or Trends Overview**
 - (1) Describe any barriers encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement
 - (2) Trends you expect your community to face in meeting the needs of persons with HIV/AIDS, and
 - (3) Any other information you feel may be important as you look at providing services to persons with HIV/AIDS in the next 5-10 years
- b. **Accomplishment Data**
 - i. **Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 1 of Actual Performance in the provision of housing (Table II-1 to be submitted with CAPER).**
 - ii. **Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 2 of Comparison to Planned Housing Actions (Table II-2 to be submitted with CAPER).**

The City of San Diego FY 2005-06 Consolidated Plan Annual Performance Report will describe the accomplishments and specific objectives for the HOPWA Program for the reporting period.

OTHER NARRATIVE

Include any CAPER information that was not covered by narratives in any other section.

Not applicable.

Appendices

AI SUMMARY MATRIX - Accomplishments Addressing Impediments to Fair Housing Choice in FY 2005-2006

Name of Grantee: County of San Diego, CA

IMPEDIMENT(S) TO BE ADDRESSED (list by degree of importance)	GOALS (What do you hope to achieve?)	ACTIVITIES OR STRATEGIES TO MEET THE GOALS (How will you achieve your goals?)	RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES ASSIGNED TO MEET GOALS (Identify the organizations who will be undertaking the impediment)	BENCHMARK In which year of your Con/Plan do you plan to achieve this?	PROPOSED INVESTMENT (Amount of money) (Funding Source)	YEAR TO BE COMPLETED (Is it contained in your Consolidated Plan Action Plan Goals?)	DATE (Mo/Yr) and Action Taken	IF THE IMPEDIMENT WAS NOT ADDRESSED, PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION AS TO WHY AND WHEN
1. Education and Outreach - Lack of access by public to fair housing and tenant's rights information - Lack of information directed to individual homeowner landlords and small rental properties	Education and outreach to reach general tenant and buyer population, where possible with focus on two to six unit landlords and individual landlords	- Operate a fair housing hotline to provide information and receive complaints. - Support collaborative efforts by all jurisdictions and service providers to link internet website information. - Make informational brochures and fliers available to public available at appropriate public venues. - Identify small rental operators within the region and target for fair housing support and education - Approach San Diego County Apartments Association regarding feasibility of lower-tier membership for small rental operators. - Conduct public seminars on fair housing issues.	County of San Diego; North County Lifeline Collaborative (10/04-6/06); Fair Housing Council of San Diego (7/04-9/05)	FY 2005-2006	\$66,667	2005-06	-In FY 2005-06 239 client calls were received on fair housing hotlines at the three locations. -During FY 2005-06, four linked websites provided fair housing information, and these linked to at least 25 additional websites with related information, services & agencies. -2000+ fair housing brochures in English and Spanish distributed were to not less than 35 sites in FY 2005-06. -Small rental operators were targeted for fair housing education through seminars in the Adult School System during FY 2005-06. This group will continue to be addressed in FY 2006-07. -Nine seminars on fair housing laws and issues were conducted during FY 2005-06. -During FY 2005-06, 24 additional fair housing events and seminars conducted benefiting residents of contract area.	-San Diego Apartment Association has not been contacted regarding lower-tier membership fees for small rental operators. Will be addressed in FY 2006-07.

Appendix A

IMPEDIMENT(S) TO BE ADDRESSED (list by degree of importance)	GOALS (What do you hope to achieve?)	ACTIVITIES OR STRATEGIES TO MEET THE GOALS (How will you achieve your goals?)	RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES ASSIGNED TO MEET GOALS (Identify the organizations who will be undertaking the impediment)	BENCHMARK In which year of your Con/Plan do you plan to achieve this?	PROPOSED INVESTMENT (Amount of money) (Funding Source)	YEAR TO BE COMPLETED (Is it contained in your Consolidated Plan Action Plan Goals?)	DATE (Mo/Yr) and Action Taken	IF THE IMPEDIMENT WAS NOT ADDRESSED, PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION AS TO WHY AND WHEN
<p>2. Lending and Credit Counseling</p> <p>-low approval rates continuing for Blacks and Hispanics over Whites in low and moderate income levels</p> <p>-lack of financial management and good credit history cited</p>	Increase lending opportunities for low income and minority households	<p>Provide copy to the Community Reinvestment Initiative (CRI) Task Force to open a dialogue and address actions with lenders.</p> <p>- Support funding for a separate financial and credit awareness program and identify a service provider to conduct program, or incorporate funding and duties into the Fair Housing Administrator scope of work.</p>	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	<p>-In FY 2005-06, CDBG funds were used for continued Reinvestment Task Force (RTF) administration costs.</p> <p>-CDBG funds were used in FY 2005-06 for first time homebuyer credit counseling and education workshops.</p>	
3. Housing for persons with disabilities	Increase housing choices for persons with disabilities	<p>- Re-evaluate housing policies within the jurisdiction.</p> <p>- Research funds availability to provide monetary incentives for barrier removal of non-compliant complexes.</p> <p>- Collaborate with San Diego County jurisdictions and fair housing providers to compile a database of available ADA-compliant units in San Diego region for public dissemination.</p>	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	<p>-All assisted housing and community development projects funded during FY 2005-06, whether new construction or rehab, are required to be ADA-compliant.</p> <p>-All housing element issues were under review during FY 2005-06, in preparation of new County Housing Element.</p>	- Expect collaboration in with FHRB members in FY 2006-07 on inventories of ADA-compliant units.
4. Lead-Based Paint Hazards	Reduce lead-based paint as a factor in housing choice.	- Make lead-based paint education more prominent in fair housing educational information.	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	-Lead information was included at fair housing workshops held during FY 2005-06.	
<p>5. Fair Housing Services</p> <p>- Lack of consistency in scope of work in region</p> <p>- Gaps in services</p> <p>- Lack of regional collaboration of service providers</p>	<p>Establish a basic level of service for the region</p> <p>Increase collaboration and coordination of services in region</p>	<p>- Collaborate with area fair housing service providers and other jurisdictions through the San Diego Fair Housing Resource Board (FHRB) to coordinate fair housing services.</p> <p>- Support FHRB efforts to establish a consistent set of basic level services for the San Diego region.</p> <p>- Annually update fair housing service area map for use regionally to inform public.</p>	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	<p>-As of FY 2005-06, FHRB has elected to oversee negotiations between Lifeline, Heartland, South Bay and Fair Housing Council of San Diego.</p> <p>-All fair housing providers in County were active members of the FHRB as of FY 2005-06.</p> <p>-Fair Housing services map remained updated in the Regional AI and the County Consortium Consolidated Plan during FY 2005-06.</p>	

Appendix A

IMPEDIMENT(S) TO BE ADDRESSED (list by degree of importance)	GOALS (What do you hope to achieve?)	ACTIVITIES OR STRATEGIES TO MEET THE GOALS (How will you achieve your goals?)	RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES ASSIGNED TO MEET GOALS (Identify the organizations who will be undertaking the impediment)	BENCHMARK In which year of your Con/Plan do you plan to achieve this?	PROPOSED INVESTMENT (Amount of money) (Funding Source)	YEAR TO BE COMPLETED (Is it contained in your Consolidated Plan Action Plan Goals?)	DATE (Mo/Yr) and Action Taken	IF THE IMPEDIMENT WAS NOT ADDRESSED, PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION AS TO WHY AND WHEN
6. Lack of consistent reporting by service providers	Increase regional consistency in collection, formatting, and reporting of fair housing information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Collaborate with other jurisdictions and fair housing service providers to develop a consistent reporting matrix and guidelines. - Develop specific outcome-based performance measures to be incorporated into the scope of work. 	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -FHRB members discussed consistency issues in FY 2005-06 and will continue reviewing possibilities for standardization. -Performance measures were developed by HUD to be implemented in FY 2007-08. 	
7. Need for fair housing audits	Develop criteria for fair housing audits and collaboratively conduct audits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Explore feasibility of jointly funding audits every two years with other jurisdictions through the FHRB. - Pursue Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP) funds through the FHRB and regional fair housing providers, as available. 	Same as above	Same as above	Same as	Same as above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The Regional AI was completed in FY 2004-05, including testing. FHRB will have further discussions about joint testing in future. 	
8. Lack of Tenant/Landlord services	Education and outreach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide information on tenant's rights in response to public inquiries and at fair housing seminars. - Consider support for tenant and landlord educational outreach and dispute resolution services. 	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 408 persons (approx.) were served at nine public seminars during FY 2005-06. -239 persons were assisted/counseled on fair housing related issues by telephone during FY 2005-06. -Landlord/tenant education and assistance is part of each contact when assessing fair housing violations. Dispute resolution was provided when appropriate during FY 2005-06. 	
9. Preferential Advertising	Eliminate preferential advertising in new housing sales	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Advise developers on development of Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plans. - Process Marketing Plans and maintain records on submittals and approved plans. 	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	Same as above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -29 fair housing compliance and exemption letters were requested and prepared during FY 2005-06. -At least two developers were given in-depth instruction on Fair Housing Marketing Plan process during FY 2005-06. -Database tracking the Plans was prepared and reported quarterly during FY 2005-06. 	

Appendix A

IMPEDIMENT(S) TO BE ADDRESSED (list by degree of importance)	GOALS (What do you hope to achieve?)	ACTIVITIES OR STRATEGIES TO MEET THE GOALS (How will you achieve your goals?)	RESPONSIBLE ENTITIES ASSIGNED TO MEET GOALS (Identify the organizations who will be undertaking the impediment)	BENCHMARK In which year of your Con/Plan do you plan to achieve this?	PROPOSED INVESTMENT (Amount of money) (Funding Source)	YEAR TO BE COMPLETED (Is it contained in your Consolidated Plan Action Plan Goals?)		DATE (Mo/Yr) and Action Taken	IF THE IMPEDIMENT WAS NOT ADDRESSED, PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION AS TO WHY AND WHEN
10. Public policies relating to land use designation, zoning provisions, and development regulations, that may be potential impediments to fair housing choice	Reduce impediments to fair housing choice that arise from public policies	- Review and consider revisions to public policies during preparation of Housing Element or during General Plan update process.	County of San Diego and Urban County participating cities	Same as above	Unknown	Same as above		-County of San Diego and Urban County cities reviewed and revised public policies during Housing Element and General Plan updates in FY 2005-06.	

HOME Match Report

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development

OMB Approval No. 2506-0171
(exp. 05/31/2007)

Part I Participant Identification			Match Contributions for Federal Fiscal Year (yyyy) 2005	
1. Participant No. (assigned by HUD) M-05-DC-060534		2. Name of the Participating Jurisdiction County of San Diego		3. Name of Contact (person completing this report) Tom D'Lugo
5. Street Address of the Participating Jurisdiction 3989 Ruffin Road			4. Contact's Phone Number (include area code) 858-694-8741	
6. City San Diego	7. State CA	8. Zip Code 92123		

Part II Fiscal Year Summary

1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	\$	19,380,411.69	
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year (see Part III.9.)	\$	829,591	
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (line 1 + line 2)			\$ 20,210,002.69
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year			\$ 1,041,105.96
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (line 3 minus line 4)			\$ 19,168,896.73

Part III Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

1. Project No. or Other ID	2. Date of Contribution (mm/dd/yyyy)	3. Cash (non-Federal sources)	4. Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	5. Appraised Land / Real Property	6. Required Infrastructure	7. Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	8. Bond Financing	9. Total Match
Vista Homeownership	6/30/2006	310,366						
Vista Mobile Assist.	6/30/2006	12,294						
Vista Housing Rehab.	6/30/2006	124,589						
*FY 2004 Adjustment	6/30/2005	-4,242.00						
Las Flores Village	3/15/2006	386,584						

HOME Annual Performance Report-Part III

Annual Performance Report
HOME ProgramU.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning
and DevelopmentOMB Approval No. 2506-0171
(exp. 05/31/2007)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track performance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maintained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for ensuring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

This form is intended to collect numeric data to be aggregated nationally as a complement to data collected through the Cash and Management Information (C/Mi) System. Participants should enter the reporting period in the first block. The reporting period is October 1 to September 30. Instructions are included for each section if further explanation is needed.

Submit this form on or before December 31.	This report is for period (mm/dd/yyyy)		Date Submitted (mm/dd/yyyy)
Send one copy to the appropriate HUD Field Office and one copy to: HOME Program, Rm 7176, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington D.C. 20410	Starting 07/01/2005	Ending 06/30/2006	

Part I Participant Identification

1. Participant Number M-05-DC-060534	2. Participant Name County of San Diego Consortium		
3. Name of Person completing this report April Torbett		4. Phone Number (Include Area Code) 858-694-8724	
5. Address 3989 Ruffin Road	6. City San Diego	7. State CA	8. Zip Code 92123

Part II Program Income

Enter the following program income amounts for the reporting period: in block 1, enter the balance on hand at the beginning; in block 2, enter the amount generated; in block 3, enter the amount expended; and in block 4, enter the amount for Tenant-Based rental Assistance.

1. Balance on hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	2. Amount received during Reporting Period	3. Total amount expended during Reporting Period	4. Amount expended for Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	5. Balance on hand at end of Reporting Period (1 + 2 - 3) = 5
---	--	--	---	---

Part III Minority Business Enterprises (MBE) and Women Business Enterprises (WBE)

In the table below, indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period.

	a. Total	Minority Business Enterprises (MBE)				f. White Non-Hispanic
		b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	
A. Contracts						
1. Number	4	0	0	0	0	4
2. Dollar Amount	\$77,864.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$77,864.00
B. Sub-Contracts						
1. Number	4	0	0	0	0	4
2. Dollar Amount	\$26,278.89	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,278.89
	a. Total	b. Women Business Enterprises (WBE)	c. Male			
C. Contracts						
1. Number	4	0	4			
2. Dollar Amount	\$77,864.00	\$0	\$77,864.00			
D. Sub-Contracts						
1. Number	4	0	4			
2. Dollar Amounts	\$26,278.89	\$0	\$26,278.89			

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

IDIS - C04PR26

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
 OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
 INTEGRATED DISBURSEMENT AND INFORMATION SYSTEM
 CDBG FINANCIAL SUMMARY FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2005
 07-01-2005 TO 06-30-2006
 SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

DATE: 08-15-06
 TIME: 19:13
 PAGE: 1

PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES

01	UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	6,321,538.61
02	ENTITLEMENT GRANT	5,408,793.00
03	SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04	SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05	CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	857,767.13
06	RETURNS	0.00
07	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	-62,340.09
08	TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	12,525,758.65

PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES

09	DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	6,518,741.07
10	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11	AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	6,518,741.07
12	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,207,403.10
13	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15	TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	7,726,144.17
16	UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	4,799,614.48

PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD

17	EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18	EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	3,310,094.32
19	DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	3,052,197.45
20	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21	TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	6,362,291.77
22	PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	97.60%

LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS

	PY2004	PY2005	PY2005
23	PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION		
24	CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	11,556,093.52	
25	CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	11,123,682.00	
26	PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	96.26%	

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

IDIS - C04PR26

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
 OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
 INTEGRATED DISBURSEMENT AND INFORMATION SYSTEM
 CDBG FINANCIAL SUMMARY FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2005
 07-01-2005 TO 06-30-2006
 SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

DATE: 08-15-06
 TIME: 19:13
 PAGE: 2

PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS

27	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	349,778.16
28	PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	237,659.61
29	PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	214,182.00
30	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	-4.64
31	TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	373,251.13
32	ENTITLEMENT GRANT	5,408,793.00
33	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	1,324,358.69
34	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	-117,681.84
35	TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	6,615,469.85
36	PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	5.64%

PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP

37	DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,207,403.10
38	PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	132,738.46
39	PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	133,063.00
40	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	-3.57
41	TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	1,207,074.99
42	ENTITLEMENT GRANT	5,408,793.00
43	CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	857,767.13
44	ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	-62,340.09
45	TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	6,204,220.04
46	PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	19.46%

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

IDIS - C04PR26

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
INTEGRATED DISBURSEMENT AND INFORMATION SYSTEM
CDBG FINANCIAL SUMMARY FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2005
07-01-2005 TO 06-30-2006
SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

DATE: 08-15-06
TIME: 19:13
PAGE: 3

LINE 17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 17

NONE FOUND

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

IDIS - C04PR26

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
 OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
 INTEGRATED DISBURSEMENT AND INFORMATION SYSTEM
 CDBG FINANCIAL SUMMARY FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2005
 07-01-2005 TO 06-30-2006
 SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

DATE: 08-15-06
 TIME: 19:13
 PAGE: 4

LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

PGM YEAR ----	PROJ ID ----	IDIS ACT ID -----	ACTIVITY NAME -----	MATRIX CODE -----	NTL OBJ -----	DRAWN AMOUNT -----
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	4,934.90
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	27,952.70
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	24,100.00
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	5,924.23
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	3,312.77
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	1,691.93
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	16,580.00
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	23,562.24
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	6,016.00
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	8,724.49
2003	0070	1478	LAKESIDE GARDENS	14B	LMH	78,725.57
2004	0022	1623	CDBG-FUNDED HOME TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	2,199.78
2004	0022	1623	CDBG-FUNDED HOME TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	13,370.87
2004	0022	1623	CDBG-FUNDED HOME TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	3,418.11
2004	0022	1623	CDBG-FUNDED HOME TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	7,079.15
2004	0022	1625	CDBG-FUNDED HOME HOUSING DEVT. SERVICES	12	LMH	4,038.64
2004	0022	1625	CDBG-FUNDED HOME HOUSING DEVT. SERVICES	12	LMH	5,771.68
2004	0022	1625	CDBG-FUNDED HOME HOUSING DEVT. SERVICES	12	LMH	1,254.00
2004	0069	1566	PINE VIEW APARTMENTS REHAB	14B	LMH	57,505.86
2004	0069	1566	PINE VIEW APARTMENTS REHAB	14B	LMH	27,337.14
2004	0069	1566	PINE VIEW APARTMENTS REHAB	14B	LMH	107,330.22
2004	0069	1566	PINE VIEW APARTMENTS REHAB	14B	LMH	105,956.73
2004	0069	1566	PINE VIEW APARTMENTS REHAB	14B	LMH	55,240.18
2004	0069	1566	PINE VIEW APARTMENTS REHAB	14B	LMH	285,340.21
2004	0070	1588	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	14B	LMH	30,980.23
2004	0070	1588	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	14B	LMH	3,566.31
2004	0070	1588	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	14B	LMH	34,977.62
2004	0070	1588	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	14B	LMH	39,687.39
2004	0070	1588	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	14B	LMH	87.19
2004	0070	1588	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	14B	LMH	737.87
2004	0070	1588	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	14B	LMH	32,254.02
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	21,338.82
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	8,454.83
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	13,371.68
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	5,887.99
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	5,915.51
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	6,483.26
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	1,466.65
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	1,461.62
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	19,954.53
2005	0048	1695	HOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	01	LMH	6,101.40

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

2005	0058	1754	SPRING VILLA APARTMENTS	14G	LMH	2,089,647.98
2005	0058	1754	SPRING VILLA APARTMENTS	14G	LMH	85,212.70
2005	0058	1754	SPRING VILLA APARTMENTS	14G	LMH	25,139.32

TOTAL:						3,310,094.32
--------	--	--	--	--	--	--------------

LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

PGM YEAR	PROJ ID	IDIS ACT ID	ACTIVITY NAME	MATRIX CODE	NTL OBJ	DRAWN AMOUNT
1994	0002	373	SANTA YSABEL FIRE STATION	03O	LMA	65,374.32
1998	0038	712	LEMON GROVE-SKYLINE/SANFORD DR. DRAINAGE	03I	LMA	22,078.59
1999	0081	1092	SOUTH BAY COMM SERV RENT&SECUR DEPOSIT	05	LMC	900.00
1999	0081	1092	SOUTH BAY COMM SERV RENT&SECUR DEPOSIT	05	LMC	100.00
1999	0081	1092	SOUTH BAY COMM SERV RENT&SECUR DEPOSIT	05	LMC	95.14
2000	0009	1022	LEMON GROVE SANFORD DR.DRAINAGE IMPROVE	03I	LMA	77,987.44
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	13,997.52
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	20,831.03
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	5,625.68
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	5,036.33
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	35,274.62
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	9,958.43
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	5,337.69
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	125.07
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	2,901.17
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	1.00
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	36,069.93
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	1,090.00
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	17,137.29
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	21,366.86
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	10,494.64
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	2,778.42
2001	0041	1158	CDBG HOUSING PRESERVATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	16,289.98
2002	0068	1351	LINCOLN ACRES HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE	05	LMA	5,019.52
2002	0068	1351	LINCOLN ACRES HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE	05	LMA	160.72
2003	0009	1388	FY 03-04 POWAY HOUSING REHAB PROGRAM	14A	LMH	55,837.52
2003	0011	1390	SOLANA BCH HERNANDEZ ST. SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	2,672.56
2003	0011	1390	SOLANA BCH HERNANDEZ ST. SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	772.33
2003	0011	1390	SOLANA BCH HERNANDEZ ST. SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	64,410.11
2003	0013	1392	FY03-04 FALLBROOK B&G CLUB ELEC. DESIGN	03D	LMC	8,925.37
2003	0032	1409	FY03-04 SAN ELIJO LAGOON ACCESS. TRAIL	03	LMC	106.00
2003	0065	1473	LSS MINOR HOME REPAIR 03-04	14A	LMH	217.36
2003	0065	1473	LSS MINOR HOME REPAIR 03-04	14A	LMH	355.55
2003	0065	1473	LSS MINOR HOME REPAIR 03-04	14A	LMH	2,019.55
2003	0065	1473	LSS MINOR HOME REPAIR 03-04	14A	LMH	783.06
2003	0066	1472	LABORS MINOR HOME SECURITY 03-04	14A	LMH	8,743.63
2004	0002	1510	04-05 DEL MAR RENTAL SUBSIDY PROGRAM	05S	LMH	22,435.00
2004	0005	1515	04-05 LEMON GROVE FAIR HOUSING MARKETING	05K	LMC	2,972.64
2004	0008	1518	04-05 POWAY ADA IMPROVEMENTS ASSESS. II	03	LMA	9,999.99
2004	0009	1519	04-05 POWAY HOUSING REHAB PROGRAM	14A	LMH	10,359.78
2004	0009	1519	04-05 POWAY HOUSING REHAB PROGRAM	14A	LMH	63,798.48
2004	0009	1519	04-05 POWAY HOUSING REHAB PROGRAM	14A	LMH	610.10
2004	0011	1521	04-05 SOLANA BCH HERNANDEZ ST SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	64,554.00
2004	0013	1524	FALLBROOK MENTAL HEALTH SVCS. BLDG. EXP.	03P	LMC	24,585.18

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

2004	0019	1544	CAMPO COMMUNITY CTR ACCESS/PARKING DSGN	03E	LMA	40.38
2004	0019	1544	CAMPO COMMUNITY CTR ACCESS/PARKING DSGN	03E	LMA	2,876.97
2004	0019	1544	CAMPO COMMUNITY CTR ACCESS/PARKING DSGN	03E	LMA	16,356.30
2004	0022	1624	CDBG-FUNDED HOME HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	668.54
2004	0022	1624	CDBG-FUNDED HOME HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	1,125.14
2004	0022	1624	CDBG-FUNDED HOME HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	1,907.22
2004	0022	1624	CDBG-FUNDED HOME HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	497.82
2004	0026	1556	04-05 FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	05J	LMC	212.00
2004	0026	1556	04-05 FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	05J	LMC	28,212.56
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	3,045.98
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	2,517.76
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	1,517.15
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	4,475.01
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	1,998.23
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	6,607.54
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	5,405.22
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	111.00
2004	0039	1523	FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	03E	LMA	380.40
2004	0040	1525	FALLBROOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	7,111.79
2004	0040	1525	FALLBROOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	9,073.74
2004	0040	1525	FALLBROOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	497.85
2004	0040	1525	FALLBROOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	1,059.68
2004	0040	1525	FALLBROOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	14,872.66
2004	0041	1527	FALLBROOK SIDEWALKS PRELIM. ENGINEERING	03L	LMA	728.28
2004	0041	1527	FALLBROOK SIDEWALKS PRELIM. ENGINEERING	03L	LMA	359.72
2004	0041	1527	FALLBROOK SIDEWALKS PRELIM. ENGINEERING	03L	LMA	6.76
2004	0041	1527	FALLBROOK SIDEWALKS PRELIM. ENGINEERING	03L	LMA	2,352.46
2004	0041	1527	FALLBROOK SIDEWALKS PRELIM. ENGINEERING	03L	LMA	2,641.06
2004	0041	1527	FALLBROOK SIDEWALKS PRELIM. ENGINEERING	03L	LMA	4,817.68
2004	0042	1528	LAKESIDE TRASH CLEANUP	05	LMA	1,607.65
2004	0044	1530	LINCOLN ACRES PARK PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMNT	03F	LMA	29,852.28
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	507.93
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	856.95
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	10,740.57
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	1,484.21
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	1,371.15
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	3,004.00
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	1,255.22
2004	0047	1533	RAMONA SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	31,824.77
2004	0049	1536	04-05 SPRING VALLEY TEEN CENTER 2ND SUPP	03D	LMC	8,202.09
2004	0049	1536	04-05 SPRING VALLEY TEEN CENTER 2ND SUPP	03D	LMC	126,263.91
2004	0049	1536	04-05 SPRING VALLEY TEEN CENTER 2ND SUPP	03D	LMC	44,994.00
2004	0049	1536	04-05 SPRING VALLEY TEEN CENTER 2ND SUPP	03D	LMC	0.26
2004	0049	1536	04-05 SPRING VALLEY TEEN CENTER 2ND SUPP	03D	LMC	14.18
2004	0049	1536	04-05 SPRING VALLEY TEEN CENTER 2ND SUPP	03D	LMC	99.82
2004	0050	1539	FELICITA PARK ADA RAMP DESIGN	03	LMC	90.20
2004	0050	1539	FELICITA PARK ADA RAMP DESIGN	03	LMC	10,004.56
2004	0051	1540	OAK GROVE COMMUNITY HALL REHABILITATION	03E	LMA	43,570.00
2004	0052	1542	BOULEVARD FIRE WATER WELL	03O	LMA	114.26
2004	0052	1542	BOULEVARD FIRE WATER WELL	03O	LMA	900.27
2004	0059	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	05	LMC	2,499.99
2004	0059	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	05	LMC	846.78
2004	0059	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	05	LMC	819.88
2004	0059	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	05	LMC	1,666.66

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

2004	0059	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	05	LMC	2,499.99
2004	0059	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	05	LMC	833.33
2004	0059	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	05	LMC	1,666.66
2004	0061	1562	04-05 LABOR'S MINOR HOME REPAIR PROGRAM	14A	LMH	10,145.27
2004	0061	1562	04-05 LABOR'S MINOR HOME REPAIR PROGRAM	14A	LMH	5,568.30
2004	0061	1562	04-05 LABOR'S MINOR HOME REPAIR PROGRAM	14A	LMH	7,867.26
2004	0061	1562	04-05 LABOR'S MINOR HOME REPAIR PROGRAM	14A	LMH	999.23
2004	0061	1562	04-05 LABOR'S MINOR HOME REPAIR PROGRAM	14A	LMH	6,810.92
2004	0061	1562	04-05 LABOR'S MINOR HOME REPAIR PROGRAM	14A	LMH	20,108.36
2004	0071	1642	SHELTER VALLEY COMM CENTER WATER TANKS	03J	LMA	342.78
2004	0071	1642	SHELTER VALLEY COMM CENTER WATER TANKS	03J	LMA	900.27
2004	0071	1642	SHELTER VALLEY COMM CENTER WATER TANKS	03J	LMA	13,857.59
2005	0001	1645	DEL MAR RENTAL HOUSING SUBSIDY PROGRAM	05S	LMH	10,499.00
2005	0001	1645	DEL MAR RENTAL HOUSING SUBSIDY PROGRAM	05S	LMH	10,620.00
2005	0002	1646	I.B. RESIDENTIAL REHABILITATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	8,269.40
2005	0004	1648	LEMON GROVE SENIOR CTR. ACCESSIBLE DOOR	03A	LMC	3,665.00
2005	0005	1649	LEMON GROVE STREET REHABILITATION PROGRM	03K	LMA	154,184.86
2005	0005	1649	LEMON GROVE STREET REHABILITATION PROGRM	03K	LMA	44,774.89
2005	0005	1649	LEMON GROVE STREET REHABILITATION PROGRM	03K	LMA	38,929.86
2005	0006	1650	LEMON GROVE TENANT/LANDLORD MEDIATION/CS	05K	LMC	1,324.23
2005	0006	1650	LEMON GROVE TENANT/LANDLORD MEDIATION/CS	05K	LMC	4,724.34
2005	0007	1651	POWAY HOUSING REHABILITATION PROGRAM	14A	LMH	24,593.33
2005	0008	1652	POWAY AFFORDABLE HOUSING SERVICES PROGRM	05	LMC	32,678.38
2005	0010	1654	SOLANA BCH-CASTRO/GONZALES ST SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	16,595.00
2005	0010	1654	SOLANA BCH-CASTRO/GONZALES ST SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	6,206.50
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	34,668.04
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	14,491.15
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	21,605.48
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	16,955.69
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	22,252.11
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	5,883.79
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	7,444.85
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	4,561.73
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	1,214.52
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	2,890.69
2005	0011	1655	CASA DE ORO-ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	03I	LMA	1,031.95
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	10,358.61
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	5,114.16
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	3,925.38
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	12,641.15
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	17,578.60
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	9,769.91
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	33,929.15
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	1,000.00
2005	0012	1656	FALLBOOK-BRANDON ROAD SIDEWALKS	03L	LMA	105,683.04
2005	0013	1657	FALLBROOK-LIVE OAK PARK PAVILION	03F	LMA	217.78
2005	0013	1657	FALLBROOK-LIVE OAK PARK PAVILION	03F	LMA	17,192.26
2005	0013	1657	FALLBROOK-LIVE OAK PARK PAVILION	03F	LMA	127.03
2005	0013	1657	FALLBROOK-LIVE OAK PARK PAVILION	03F	LMA	2,480.41
2005	0013	1657	FALLBROOK-LIVE OAK PARK PAVILION	03F	LMA	4,037.45
2005	0013	1657	FALLBROOK-LIVE OAK PARK PAVILION	03F	LMA	3,279.04
2005	0013	1657	FALLBROOK-LIVE OAK PARK PAVILION	03F	LMA	18.15
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	8,502.71
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	6,027.96

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	4,114.09
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	9,697.91
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	2,681.51
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	1,265.25
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	222.00
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	1,811.47
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	2,400.37
2005	0014	1658	FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	13,276.73
2005	0017	1661	LINCOLN ACRES PARK TOT-LOT AND SWINGS	03F	LMA	34.90
2005	0017	1661	LINCOLN ACRES PARK TOT-LOT AND SWINGS	03F	LMA	59,529.60
2005	0017	1661	LINCOLN ACRES PARK TOT-LOT AND SWINGS	03F	LMA	2,373.70
2005	0017	1661	LINCOLN ACRES PARK TOT-LOT AND SWINGS	03F	LMA	2,201.73
2005	0017	1661	LINCOLN ACRES PARK TOT-LOT AND SWINGS	03F	LMA	2,401.68
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	99.57
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	95.90
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	812.89
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	1,221.87
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	4,524.96
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	648.40
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	7,482.83
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	551.05
2005	0018	1662	OLIVE STREET SIDEWALK ADA IMPROVEMENTS	03L	LMA	62.53
2005	0019	1663	COLLIER PARK ADA WALKWAYS/PARKING/LNDSCP	03F	LMA	345.36
2005	0019	1663	COLLIER PARK ADA WALKWAYS/PARKING/LNDSCP	03F	LMA	3,430.82
2005	0019	1663	COLLIER PARK ADA WALKWAYS/PARKING/LNDSCP	03F	LMA	67,220.19
2005	0019	1663	COLLIER PARK ADA WALKWAYS/PARKING/LNDSCP	03F	LMA	685.56
2005	0019	1663	COLLIER PARK ADA WALKWAYS/PARKING/LNDSCP	03F	LMA	334.79
2005	0020	1664	SPRING VALLEY HEALTH CTR EXTERIOR IMPROV	03P	LMC	24,700.00
2005	0021	1665	SPRING VALLEY PARK IMPROVEMENTS	03F	LMA	901.00
2005	0021	1665	SPRING VALLEY PARK IMPROVEMENTS	03F	LMA	1,113.00
2005	0021	1665	SPRING VALLEY PARK IMPROVEMENTS	03F	LMA	2,035.45
2005	0021	1665	SPRING VALLEY PARK IMPROVEMENTS	03F	LMA	1,683.46
2005	0021	1665	SPRING VALLEY PARK IMPROVEMENTS	03F	LMA	460.48
2005	0021	1665	SPRING VALLEY PARK IMPROVEMENTS	03F	LMA	4,059.25
2005	0022	1666	SPRING VALLEY TRASH CLEANUP	05	LMA	4,976.55
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	9,754.35
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	8,877.50
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	10,962.42
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	616.86
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	233.24
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	4,255.00
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	21,402.12
2005	0023	1667	JAMACHA BOULEVARD SIDEWALKS DESIGN	03L	LMA	13,898.51
2005	0024	1668	FELICITA PARK ADA RAMP CONSTRUCTION	03	LMC	6,120.22
2005	0024	1668	FELICITA PARK ADA RAMP CONSTRUCTION	03	LMC	695.63
2005	0024	1668	FELICITA PARK ADA RAMP CONSTRUCTION	03	LMC	41,434.41
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	4,617.44
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	166,943.29
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	7,194.45
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	5.00
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	185.83
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	2,973.34
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	830.70
2005	0025	1669	JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	03F	LMA	278.75

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26)

2005	0026	1670	MOUNT LAGUNA FIRE DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT	030	LMA	28,724.00
2005	0027	1671	OCOTILLO WELLS FIRE DEPT. GENERATOR	030	LMA	9,795.10
2005	0028	1672	RAINBOW FIRE DEPT. EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT	030	LMA	54,315.00
2005	0029	1673	SAN PASQUAL ACADEMY INFRASTRUCTURE IMPRV	03D	LMC	139,808.61
2005	0029	1673	SAN PASQUAL ACADEMY INFRASTRUCTURE IMPRV	03D	LMC	4,367.97
2005	0029	1673	SAN PASQUAL ACADEMY INFRASTRUCTURE IMPRV	03D	LMC	2,015.68
2005	0031	1675	BOSTONIA FIRE DEPT. EMERGENCY GENERATOR	030	LMA	2,500.00
2005	0031	1675	BOSTONIA FIRE DEPT. EMERGENCY GENERATOR	030	LMA	21,879.00
2005	0032	1676	CAMPO COMMUNITY CTR ACCESS/PARKING LOT	03E	LMA	3,887.79
2005	0032	1676	CAMPO COMMUNITY CTR ACCESS/PARKING LOT	03E	LMA	10.74
2005	0032	1676	CAMPO COMMUNITY CTR ACCESS/PARKING LOT	03E	LMA	443.39
2005	0033	1677	CAMPO FIRE DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT	030	LMA	34,448.56
2005	0034	1678	CAMPO SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	9,507.53
2005	0034	1678	CAMPO SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	157.06
2005	0034	1678	CAMPO SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	16.96
2005	0034	1678	CAMPO SENIOR CENTER IMPROVEMENTS	03A	LMC	794.45
2005	0035	1679	DULZURA EMERGENCY GENERATORS	030	LMA	46,000.00
2005	0039	1684	GRAFFITI ABATEMENT SERVICES	05	LMA	6,730.61
2005	0041	1686	COLD WEATHER SHELTER PROGRAM	05	LMC	6,267.00
2005	0041	1686	COLD WEATHER SHELTER PROGRAM	05	LMC	9,205.00
2005	0041	1686	COLD WEATHER SHELTER PROGRAM	05	LMC	14,528.00
2005	0045	1690	URBAN COUNTY FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	05J	LMC	15,383.50
2005	0045	1690	URBAN COUNTY FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	05J	LMC	15,053.49
2005	0045	1690	URBAN COUNTY FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	05J	LMC	14,320.17
2005	0046	1691	HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/CREDIT COUNSELING	05	LMC	2,749.98
2005	0046	1691	HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/CREDIT COUNSELING	05	LMC	1,833.32
2005	0046	1691	HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/CREDIT COUNSELING	05	LMC	2,749.98
2005	0046	1691	HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/CREDIT COUNSELING	05	LMC	2,749.98
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	6,716.35
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	8,617.47
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	11,880.14
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	1,105.12
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	5,024.13
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	4,720.11
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	7,779.49
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	11,730.54
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	6,213.92
2005	0048	1693	HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	05S	LMH	9,119.93
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	1,716.21
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	381.86
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	1,720.48
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	853.73
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	673.94
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	210.24
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	623.21
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	210.59
2005	0048	1694	HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	13	LMH	2,254.58
2005	0055	1731	POWAY HURRICANE KATRINA EVAC. ASSISTANCE	05	LMC	15,000.00
2005	0055	1731	POWAY HURRICANE KATRINA EVAC. ASSISTANCE	05	LMC	5,374.00
2005	0055	1731	POWAY HURRICANE KATRINA EVAC. ASSISTANCE	05	LMC	403.40
2005	0055	1731	POWAY HURRICANE KATRINA EVAC. ASSISTANCE	05	LMC	1,083.60

TOTAL: 3,052,197.45

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Reconciliation

Reconciliation of IDIS to the County CDBG FY 2005-2006 Expenditures and Revenues
 CDBG Financial Summary
 Program Year 2005 - Program Year covered 2005-07-01 to 2006-06-30

	FY 2005/2006 Per IDIS	Entered on Report by staff	FY 2004/2005 Period 13/14 Adjustment	FY 2005/2006 Period 12 Adjustment	FY 2005/2006 Adjusted Financial	FY 2005/2006 Adjusted Program Income
Part I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES	= Prior Year Numbers from IDIS to be replaced with a new correct number					
1 Unexpended CDBG Funds at End of the Previous Reporting Period	\$5,934,746.71	\$6,321,538.61 [A]			\$6,321,538.61	
2 Entitlement Grant	\$5,408,793.00				\$5,408,793.00	\$11,730,331.61 Beg. Bal Sub-total
3 Surplus Urban Renewal	\$0.00				\$0.00	
4 Section 108 Guaranteed Loan Funds	\$0.00				\$0.00	
5 Program Income	\$857,767.13				\$857,767.13	
6 Returns	\$0.00				\$0.00	
7 Adjustment to Compute Total Available	-\$117,681.84	Prior Yr Number	-\$110,649.56 [B]	\$48,309.47 [B]	-\$62,340.09	\$795,427.04 FY 2005-06 Program Income (See Attachment B)
8 Total Available (SUM Lines 01-07)	\$12,083,625.00				\$12,525,758.65	
Part II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES						
9 Disbursements Other Than 108 Repayments or Planning/Admin.	\$6,518,741.07				\$6,518,741.07	
10 Adjustment to Compute Total Amount Subject to Low/Mod Benefit	\$0.00				\$0.00	
11 Amount Subject to Low/Mod Benefit (9+10)	\$6,518,741.07				\$6,518,741.07	
12 Disbursed In IDIS for Planning and Administration	\$1,207,403.10				\$1,207,403.10	
13 Disbursed In IDIS for 108 Repayments	\$0.00				\$0.00	
14 Adjustment to Compute Total Expenditures	\$0.00	Prior Yr Number			\$0.00	
15 Total Expenditures (11+...+14)	\$7,726,144.17				\$7,726,144.17	\$7,726,144.17 FY 04-05 Expend prior to Prog. Income (See Attachment B)
16 Unexpended Balance (8-15)	\$4,357,480.83				\$4,799,614.48	
Part III: LOW/MOD BENEFIT						
17 Expended for Low/Mod Housing In Special Areas	\$0.00				\$0.00	
18 Expended for Low/Mod Multi-Unit Housing	\$821,155.23	\$3,310,094.32 [C]			\$3,310,094.32	
19 Disbursed for Other Low/Mod Activities	\$3,052,197.45				\$3,052,197.45	
20 Adjustment to compute Total Low/Mod Credit	\$0.00				\$0.00	
21 Total Low/Mod Credit (17+...+20)	\$3,873,352.68				\$6,362,291.77	
22 Percent Low/Mod Credit (21/11)	59.42%				97.60%	

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Reconciliation

Reconciliation of IDIS to the County CDBG FY 2005-2006 Expenditures and Revenues
 CDBG Financial Summary
 Program Year 2005 - Program Year covered 2005-07-01 to 2006-06-30

	FY 2005/2006 Per IDIS	Entered on Report by staff	FY 2004/2005 Period 13/14 Adjustment	FY 2005/2006 Period 12 Adjustment	FY 2005/2006 Adjusted Financial	FY 2004/2005 Adjusted Program Income
Low/Mod Benefit For Multi-Year Certifications						
23 Program Years (PY) Covered in Certification					PY2004 PY2005	
24 Cumulative Net Expenditures Subject to Low/Mod Benefit Calculations	\$13,021,434.71	\$11,556,093.52 [D-1]			\$11,556,093.52	
25 Cumulative Expenditures Benefiting Low/Mod Persons	\$12,745,472.49	\$11,123,682.00 [D-2]			\$11,123,682.00	
26 Percent Benefit to Low/Mod Persons (Line 25/Line 24)	97.88%				96.26%	
Part IV: PUBLIC SERVICES (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS						
27 Disbursed in IDIS for Public Services	\$349,778.16				\$349,778.16	
28 PS Unliquidated Obligations at End of Current Program Year	\$237,659.61	\$237,648.61 [E]	Not entered but correct amount per detail		\$237,659.61	
29 PS Unliquidated Obligations at End of Previous Program Year	Prior Yr Number \$227,414.00	\$214,182.00 [F]			\$214,182.00	
30 Adjustment to Compute Total PS Obligations	\$0.00		\$6.36 [F]	\$11.00 [E]	-\$4.64	
31 Total PS Obligations (27+28-29+30)	\$360,023.77				\$373,251.13	
32 Entitlement Grant	\$5,408,793.00				\$5,408,793.00	
33 Prior Year Program Income	\$1,324,358.69				\$1,324,358.69	
34 Adjustment to Compute Total Subject to PA Cap	Prior Yr Number \$121,327.77	-\$228,331.40 [G]	\$110,649.56 [G]		-\$117,681.84	\$1,206,676.85 FY 2004-05 Program Income (See Attachment D)
35 Total Subject to PS CAP (Sum 32+33+34)	\$6,854,479.46				\$6,615,469.85	
36 Percent Funds Obligated for PS Activities (31/35)	5.25%				5.64%	
Part V: PLANNING AND PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP						
37 Disbursed in IDIS for Planning/Administration	\$1,207,403.10				\$1,207,403.10	
38 PA Unliquidated Obligations at End Of Current Program Year	\$132,738.46				\$132,738.46	
39 PA Unliquidated Obligations at End Of Previous Program Year	\$267,602.00	\$133,063.00 [H]			\$133,063.00	
40 Adjustment to Compute Total PA Obligations [C]	\$1.04	Prior Yr Number		-\$3.57 [H]	-\$3.57	
41 Total PA Obligations (37+38-39+40)	\$1,072,540.60				\$1,207,074.99	
42 Entitlement Grant	\$5,408,793.00				\$5,408,793.00	
43 Current Year Program Income	\$857,767.13				\$857,767.13	
44 Adjustment to Compute Total Subject to PA CAP	-\$117,681.84	Prior Yr Number	-\$110,649.56 [B]	\$48,309.47 [B]	-\$62,340.09	\$795,427.04 FY 2005-06 Program Income (See Attachment B)
45 Total Subject to PA CAP (42+43+44)	\$6,148,878.29				\$6,204,220.04	
46 Percent Funds Obligated for PA Activities (41/45)	17.44%				19.46%	

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Reconciliation

FOOTNOTES TO THE FINANCIAL SUMMARY RECONCILIATION FOR FY 2005-06

Line 1	
[A]	Unexpended CDBG Funds reported in County of San Diego CAPER Financial Report at the end of FY 2004-05, 6-30-05 \$6,321,538.61. (See Attachment D.)
Lines 7 & 44	Excludes \$110,649.56 of Program Income earned in FY 2004-05 (ARMS Period 13 \$110,649.56) but entered in IDIS after 6-30-2005. (See Attachment C.)
[B]	Includes \$48,309.47 of Program Income earned in FY 2005-06 (Oracle Period 12 \$48,309.47) but entered in IDIS after 6-30-2006. (See Attachment A.)
	Total Adjustment (- \$110,649.56 + \$48,309.47) = -\$62,340.09. Adjusted FY 2005-06 Program Income \$795,427.04. (See Attachment B.)
Line 18	
[C]	Per IDIS Report C04PR26 detail, page 5 FY 2005-06 CDBG \$'s Expended for Low/Mod Multi-Unit Housing (\$3,310,094.32).
Line 24	
[D-1]	Line 24 = Total FY 2004-05 CDBG Expenditures (6,531,884.95) plus FY 2005-06 (\$7,726,144.17) less Planning & Admin. Expenditures (2004-05) \$1,494,532.50 and (2005-06) \$1,207,403.10 = Cum. Net Expend. Subject to Low/Mod Benefit Calculation. (\$14,258,029.12 - \$2,701,935.60 = \$11,556,093.52)
Lines 25	
[D-2]	Line 25 = \$ Expended to Low/Mod Benefit clients (2004) \$5,037,352.45 plus (2005) \$6,518,741.07 less Slum & Blight Expenditures (2004) \$275,962.22 plus (2005) \$156,449.30 = Expenditures Benefiting Low/ Mod (\$11,556,093.52 - \$432,411.52 = \$11,123,682)
Lines 28 & 30	
[E]	Adjustment of \$11.00 because Actual Public Service Unliquidated Obligations for FY 2005-06 in IDIS were \$237,648.61 but IDIS generated \$237,659.61. (See Attachment G.)
Line 29	
[F]	Adjustment of \$6.36 because Actual Public Service Unliquidated Obligations for FY 2004-05 in IDIS were \$214,175.64 but IDIS generated \$214,182.00. (See Attachment E.)
Line 34	
[G]	Excludes \$228,331.40 of Program Income earned in FY 2003-04 (ARMS Period 13) but entered in IDIS after 6-30-2004. Includes \$110,649.56 of Program Income earned in FY 2004-05 (ARMS Period 13) but entered in IDIS after 6-30-2005. (See FY 2004-05 CAPER backup.) Total Adjustment (-\$228,331.40 + \$110,649.56) = -\$117,681.84. Adjusted FY 2004-05 Program Income \$1,206,676.85. (See Attachment D.)
Line 39	
[H]	Adjustment of -\$3.57 because Actual Planning & Program Administration Unliquidated Obligations for FY 2004-05 in IDIS are \$133,066.57 but IDIS generated \$133,063.00. (See Attachment F.)

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment A

DEPT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
 EXPENDITURE AND PROGRAM INCOME BY HUD IDIS PROJECT
 FOR THE MONTH OF JUN 2006

PROJECT	HUD IDIS	PGM YR	ORACLE PROJECT	ARMS ACTIVITY	RELATED ACTIVITY	FUND	JUN 2006 / DRAW 406			EXPENDITURE	YTD TOTAL	
							EXPENDITURE	PROG INCOME	TOTAL DRAW		PROG INCOME	TOTAL DRAW
SO BAY COMM SERVICES	1092	1999	1001110	390410		HCD-SRF	95.14		95.14	1,095.14	0.00	1,095.14
REHAB - PROGRAM INCOME	1158	2000		390014		HCD-SRF	0.00	(36,069.93)	(36,069.93)	0.00	(150,271.70)	(150,271.70)
REHAB ADMIN	1158	2000	1005441	390015		GF	617.53		617.53	4,067.15	0.00	4,067.15
REHAB ADMIN-UC/GEN	1158	2000	1005440	390016		GF	9,217.40		9,217.40	82,448.94	0.00	82,448.94
REHAB LOANS	1158	2000		390014		HCD-SRF	27,325.00		27,325.00	117,200.00	0.00	117,200.00
SAN ELIJO LAGOON ACCESSIBLE NATURE TRAIL	1409		1000172	KN1976		COF	106.00		106.00	106.00	0.00	106.00
FALLBROOK COMMUNITY CTR INTERIOR REMODEL	1523	2004	1005991	KN5491		COF	380.40		380.40	26,058.29	0.00	26,058.29
RAMONA SENIOR CTR IMPRV	1533	2003	1005995	MX5818		MM	5,859.36		5,859.36	51,044.80	0.00	51,044.80
SV TEEN CENTER 2ND SUPP	1536		1000222	KK0880		COF	134,466.00		134,466.00	179,574.26	0.00	179,574.26
PI-RESIDENTIAL REHAB	1536			390014		HCD-SRF	0.00	(8,202.09)	(8,202.09)	0.00	(8,301.91)	(8,301.91)
BOULEVARD FIRE WATER WELL	1542		1007771	MX6810		MM	900.27		900.27	1,014.53	0.00	1,014.53
CAMPO COMM CTR ACCESS/PARKING DESIGN	1544		1006598	MX5874		MM	(3,004.00)		(3,004.00)	19,273.65	0.00	19,273.65
BUILDING BLOCKS FOR BETTER NEIGHBORHOOD	1547	2004	1001004-007	GFBBBN		GF	1,166.03		1,166.03	4,342.73	0.00	4,342.73
SHELTER VALLEY COMM CTR WATER TANKS	1642		1007770	MX6809		MM	900.27		900.27	15,100.64	0.00	15,100.64
DEL MAR RENTAL HOUSING SUBSIDY PROGRAM	1645	2005	1007288	DM0003		HCD-SRF	10,499.00		10,499.00	21,119.00	0.00	21,119.00
IB RESIDENTIAL REHAB PROG	1646	2005	1007289	1B0017		HCD-SRF	8,269.40		8,269.40	8,269.40	0.00	8,269.40
SB-CASTRO/GONZALES ST SIDEWALKS	1654	2005	1007297			HCD-SRF	6,206.50		6,206.50	22,801.50	0.00	22,801.50
CASA DE ORO ESTRELLA PRK DRAINAGE DESIGN	1655	2005	1007264	R90451		RDFD	34,668.04		34,668.04	133,000.00	0.00	133,000.00
FALLBROOK-LIVE OAKS PAVILION	1657	2005	1006855	KN6313		COF	6,517.86		6,517.86	27,352.12	0.00	27,352.12
PI-HACIENDA MOAP	1657	2005		390390		GF	0.00	(3,303.77)	(3,303.77)	0.00	(6,582.81)	(6,582.81)
PI-LaMOREE MOAP	1657	2005		MOAP03		GF	0.00	(733.68)	(733.68)	0.00	(733.68)	(733.68)
FALLBROOK-OLD STAGE RD SDWALKS DESIGN	1658	2005	1005473	R90448		RDFD	9,697.91		9,697.91	50,000.00	0.00	50,000.00
LINDO LAKE PARK N.SIDE RESTROOM	1659	2005	1006856	KN6314		COF	126,372.71		126,372.71	140,449.30	0.00	140,449.30
COLLIER PARK ADA WALKWAYS/PARKING/LNDSCP	1663	2005	1006860	KN6317		COF	685.56		685.56	72,016.72	0.00	72,016.72
SV PARK IMPROVEMENTS	1665	2005	1006861	KN6318		COF	1,113.00		1,113.00	10,252.64	0.00	10,252.64
FELICITA PARK ADA RAMP DESIGNS	1668	2005	1005993	KN5493		COF	695.63		695.63	48,250.26	0.00	48,250.26
JULIAN JESS MARTIN PARKING/ACCESS	1669	2005	1006862	KN6319		COF	7,199.45		7,199.45	183,028.80	0.00	183,028.80
SAN PASQUAL ACADEMY INFRASTRUCTURE IMPRV	1673	2005	1007509	MX6805		MM	4,367.97		4,367.97	146,192.26	0.00	146,192.26
CAMPO SENIOR CTR IMPROVE	1678	2005	1007510	MX6808		MM	9,507.53		9,507.53	10,476.00	0.00	10,476.00
DULZURA EMERGENCY GENERATORS	1679	2005	1007314	390581		HCD-SRF	46,000.00		46,000.00	46,000.00	0.00	46,000.00
ALPINE REVITALIZATION PROJ	1683	2005	1001004-008	ALPRVT		GF	1,175.43		1,175.43	3,713.54	0.00	3,713.54
BACK COUNTRY REVIIT PROJ	1683	2005	1005439	BCREVI		GF	27.90		27.90	5,194.39	0.00	5,194.39
TKSD/LA/SV GRAFFITI ABATEMENT SERVICES	1684	2005	1007316	390551		HCD-SRF	6,730.61		6,730.61	6,730.61	0.00	6,730.61
REG TASK FORCE / HOMELESS	1687	2005	1007325	390570		HCD-SRF	7,237.60		7,237.60	30,000.00	0.00	30,000.00
SAFE HOUSING COORDINATOR POSITION	1688	2005	1001048	390515		GF	9,530.19		9,530.19	38,060.09	0.00	38,060.09
SUPP HOUSING PROGRAM CONSULTANT	1689	2005	1007327	390576		HCD-SRF	3,086.40		3,086.40	12,345.60	0.00	12,345.60
HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/CREDIT COUNSELING	1691	2005	1007329	390560		HCD-SRF	1,833.32		1,833.32	10,083.26	0.00	10,083.26
MOBILE HOME ISSUED COMMITTEE	1692	2005	1007330	390571		HCD-SRF	771.60		771.60	2,006.16	0.00	2,006.16
HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	1693	2005	1006617	CHNTNSE		GF	11,880.14		11,880.14	64,229.06	0.00	64,229.06
HOME PROGRAM TBRA SERVICES	1693	2005	1006618	CHMTRB		GF	(47.82)		(47.82)	8,678.14	0.00	8,678.14

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment A

DEPT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
 EXPENDITURE AND PROGRAM INCOME BY HUD IDIS PROJECT
 FOR THE MONTH OF JUN 2006

PROJECT	HUD IDIS	PGM YR	ORACLE PROJECT	ARMS ACTIVITY	RELATED ACTIVITY	FUND	JUN 2006 / DRAW 406			YTD TOTAL		
							EXPENDITURE	PROG INCOME	TOTAL DRAW	EXPENDITURE	PROG INCOME	TOTAL DRAW
HOME POWAY COMM RD ADMIN	1693	2005	1008298	HCPADM		GF	(8,578.68)		(8,578.68)	0.00	0.00	0.00
HOME YWCA TRANS ADMIN	1693	2005	1008299	HYWCAD		GF	(11,328.03)		(11,328.03)	0.00	0.00	0.00
HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	1694	2005	1006614	CHLNPR		GF	479.13		479.13	7,046.02	0.00	7,046.02
HOME PROGRAM HOMEBUYER SERVICES	1694	2005	1006615	CHHSCN		GF	144.08		144.08	1,598.82	0.00	1,598.82
HOME HOUSING SERV / NOFA	1695	2005	1006616	CAHLPR		GF	1,474.83		1,474.83	42,162.94	0.00	42,162.94
HOME POWAY COMM RD ADMIN	1695	2005	1008298	HCPADM		GF	8,578.68		8,578.68	8,578.68	0.00	8,578.68
HOME YWCA TRANS ADMIN	1695	2005	1008299	HYWCAD		GF	12,077.30		12,077.30	12,077.30	0.00	12,077.30
HOME SPRING VILLA ADMIN	1695	2005	1008218	HSVAAD		GF	18,602.59		18,602.59	18,602.59	0.00	18,602.59
HOME SPRING BROOK ADMIN	1695	2005	1009220	HSBGAD		GF	559.95		559.95	559.95	0.00	559.95
HOME CANYON PARK ADMIN	1695	2005	1009275	NONE		GF	8,454.83		8,454.83	8,454.83	0.00	8,454.83
CDBG MANAGELMT & ADMIN	1713	2005	1001004-001	390017	390017	GF	34,424.45		34,424.45	238,135.11	0.00	238,135.11
CDBG MANAGELMT & ADMIN	1713	2005	1001004-002	390017	390011	GF	7,750.80		7,750.80	20,429.95	0.00	20,429.95
CDBG MANAGELMT & ADMIN	1713	2005	1001004-003	390017	390012	GF	8,962.96		8,962.96	33,760.93	0.00	33,760.93
CDBG MANAGELMT & ADMIN	1713	2005	1001004-006	390017	SH0DEV	GF	1,744.00		1,744.00	2,525.73	0.00	2,525.73
CDBG AMIN - IT CONTRACT SERVICES	1713	2005		390017	921020	GF	5,094.23		5,094.23	28,080.32	0.00	28,080.32
CDBG MOBILEHOME MED STAFF COST	1713	2005	1005443	M0BMED		GF	3,725.18		3,725.18	3,725.18	0.00	3,725.18
ESG ADMIN	1713	2005	1001029	ESGADM		GF	88.14		88.14	1,326.84	0.00	1,326.84
SHP ADMIN	1713	2005	1001050	VARIOUS		GF	(12,034.48)		(12,034.48)	28,175.58	0.00	28,175.58
TOTAL							572,275.29	(48,309.47)	523,965.82	7,726,144.17	(795,427.04)	6,930,717.13

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment B

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
CALCULATION OF UNEXPENDED BALANCE RATE AS OF 06/30/06
FY 05/06

PERIOD	DRAW	EXPENDITURE	PROG INCOME	NET DRAW
JULY	394	88,498.75	(125.07)	88,373.68
AUGUST	395	249,349.20	(2,901.17)	246,448.03
SEPTEMBER	396	258,985.73	(160,961.83)	98,023.90
OCTOBER	397	190,785.66	(82,530.38)	108,255.28
NOVEMBER	398	459,669.99	(88,553.91)	371,116.08
DECEMBER	399	453,422.73	(71,503.38)	381,919.35
JANUARY	400	739,039.48	(60,865.86)	678,173.62
FEBRUARY	401	630,271.32	(28,598.57)	601,672.75
MARCH	402	773,014.02	(49,424.50)	723,589.52
APRIL	403	478,458.84	(11,502.91)	466,955.93
TOTAL AS 04/30/06		4,321,495.72	(556,967.58)	3,764,528.14
APRIL	404	269,608.52	(17,578.60)	252,029.92
MAY	405	2,562,764.64	(172,571.39)	2,390,193.25
JUNE	406	572,275.29	(48,309.47)	523,965.82
TOTAL AS 06/30/06		7,726,144.17	(795,427.04)	6,930,717.13
Unexpended Balance as of 07/01/05 from PY				6,321,538.61
2005 CDBG Allocation				5,408,793.00
Total Unexpended Balance as of 07/01/05				11,730,331.61
Total Unexpended Balance as of 07/01/05				11,730,331.61
FY05/06 Net Expenditures as of 06/30/06				(6,930,717.13)
Unexpended Balance as of 06/30/06				4,799,614.48
<u>Calculation of Unexpended Balance Rate</u>				
Unexpended Balance as of 6/30/06				4,799,614.48
2005 CDBG Allocation				5,408,793.00
Rate of Unexpended Balance as of 06/30/06				0.89

SOURCE: *FY05/06 CDBG Draw Reports*
 HUD IDIS Grant Summary Listing

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment B

08/03/06 14:48

GRANTS SUMMARY LISTING

C04MD51

DRAWDOWN FOR: SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM

GRANT PROGRAM: B CDBG

FISCAL YEAR : ____

PAGE - 001

SEI	GRANT NUMBER	FUND	AUTHORIZED AMT	SUB-ALLOC AMT	ACT COMMITD AMT
	GRANT STATUS	TYPE		DRAW PENDG AMT	TOTAL DRAWN AMT
-	B05UC060501	PI	\$795427.04	\$0.00	\$795427.04
				\$0.00	\$795427.04
	RECIP NAME: SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM				
-	B05UC060501	EN	\$5408793.00	\$0.00	\$4790860.93
				\$0.00	\$609178.52
	RECIP NAME: SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM				
-	B04UC060501	PI	\$1206676.85	\$0.00	\$1206676.85
				\$0.00	\$1206676.85
	RECIP NAME: SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM				
-	B04UC060501	EN	\$5712000.00	\$0.00	\$5712000.00
				\$0.00	\$5712000.00
	RECIP NAME: SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM				

F1 = HELP F4 = MAIN MENU F7 = PREV F17 = UP F18 = DOWN

final 6/30/06

5,408,793.00+
609,178.52-
000
4,799,614.48**

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment C

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

PERIOD EXPENDITURE and PROGRAM INCOME SUMMARY

FY 04-05 Period 13

Draw 392

CPS NO	HUD NO	PGM YR	ACTIVITY	RELATED ACTIVITY	ORACLE PROJECT	EXP TOTAL	PROGRAM INCOME	NET TOTAL	VOUCHER NUMBER
COUNTY									
022	1565	2004	CDBG MANAGEMENT & AC	390017	390017	1001004-001	67,656.46	0.00	67,656.46 1167221
022	1565	2004	IT CONTRACT SERVICES	390017	921020		2,922.25	0.00	2,922.25 1167221
022	1565	2004	ADVANCE PLANNING	390012	390011	1001004-002	(229.01)	0.00	(229.01) 1167221
022	1565	2004	HOUSING DEV ADMIN	390012	390012	1001004-003	888.40	0.00	888.40 1167221
022	1565	2004	SUPP HOUS DEV ADMIN	390012	SH0DEV	1001004-006	239.93	0.00	239.93 1167221
022	1565	2004	ESG ADMIN	390012	ESGDEV	1001029	17.66	0.00	17.66 1167221
022	1565	2004	FLINN SPRINGS MOAP	390012	390390		(8.80)	0.00	(8.80) 1167221
021	1549	2004	LAKESIDE/RAMONA/SV RE	LRREVT		1001004-009	996.40	0.00	996.40 1167221
021	1549	2004	BACK COUNTY REVIT	BCREVT		1005439	1,746.21	0.00	1,746.21 1167221
003	1623	2004	TENANT SELECT, INSPT	CHTNSE		1006617	3,760.00	0.00	3,760.00 1167221
003	1623	2004	TBRA MANAGEMENT	CHMTBR		1006618	660.02	0.00	660.02 1167221
003	1624	2004	DCCA LOAN PROCESS	CHLNPR		1006614	765.97	0.00	765.97 1167221
003	1624	2004	DCCA LOAN PROCESS	CHHSCN		1006615	225.54	0.00	225.54 1167221
003	1625	2004	LOAN PROC & INSPECT	CAHLPR		1006616	5,214.06	0.00	5,214.06 1167221
059	1557	2004	FIRST TIME HOMEBUYER	390560		1005304	1,666.66	0.00	1,666.66 1167221
056	1547	2004	BLDGNG BLOCKS BETTTE	GFBBBN		1001004-007	687.79	0.00	687.79 1167221
041	1158	2000	REHAB ADMIN	390015	390015	1005441	(81.80)	0.00	(81.80) 1167221
041	1158	2000	REHAB ADMIN - UC/GEN	390016	390016	1005440	12,213.61	0.00	12,213.61 1167221
041	1158	2000	LEAD-BASED PAINT	LBP001			(729.67)	0.00	(729.67) 1167221
041	1158	2000	LEAD-BASED PAINT	LBP00M			197.45	0.00	197.45 1167221
041	1158	2000	REHAB - LOANS	390014	390014		45,633.50	0.00	45,633.50 1167221
041	1158	2000	REHAB - PROGRAM INC	390014	390014		0.00	(45,633.50)	(45,633.50) 1167264/016824
048	1534	2004	RAMONA VILLAGE PLAN	VG2112	GP2020	1006210	19,552.50	0.00	19,552.50 1167221
020	1548	2004	REINVTMNT TASK FORCE	390557		1005293	11,094.55	0.00	11,094.55 1167221
047	1533	2003	RAMONA SENIOR CTR IMF	MX5818		1005995	5,083.25	0.00	5,083.25 1167234
057	1550	2004	HCD BUILDNG ACCESS EN	MX5817		1005973	1,904.05	0.00	1,904.05 1167234
072	1481	2003	LKSD BOYS/GIRLS ADDITI	390569		1004988	19,400.00	0.00	19,400.00 1167234
072	1481	2003	PI-RES REHAB	390014			0.00	(9,183.79)	(9,183.79) 1167264/016824
025	1555	2004	SHP PROG CONSULTANT	390558		1005294	7,700.00	0.00	7,700.00 1167234
019	1544	2004	CAMPO COMM CTR PARKI	MX5874		1006598	6,518.01	0.00	6,518.01 1167234
054	1545	2004	CAMPO FIRE VEHICLE	390556		1005292	255.73	0.00	255.73 1167234
044	1530	2004	LA PARK PLAYGROUND IM	KN5492		1005992	4,529.42	0.00	4,529.42 1167234
070	1588	2004	VILLA LAKESHORE REHAB	390568		1006230	18,072.00	0.00	18,072.00 1167234
049	1536	2004	SV TEEN CTR SUPP	KK0880		1000222	6,794.46	0.00	6,794.46 1167234
055	1546	2004	CAMPO LIBRARY CONST	KL1600		1000183	118,229.57	0.00	118,229.57 1167234
055	1546	2004	PI-TWIN OAKS	MOAP07			0.00	(52,528.50)	(52,528.50) 1167264/016824
055	1546	2004	PI-HACIENDA MOAP	390390			0.00	(3,303.77)	(3,303.77) 1167264/016824
050	1539	2004	NE FELICITA PARK ADA R	KN5493		1005493	213.00	0.00	213.00 1167239
043	1529	2004	LINDO LAKE PRK ADA RAI	KN5494		1005994	4,783.24	0.00	4,783.24 1167239
039	1523	2004	FLLBRK COMM CTR INTER	KN5491		1005991	2,122.46	0.00	2,122.46 1167239
040	1525	2004	FALLBRK BRANDON RD SI	R90450		1005471	2,102.04	0.00	2,102.04 1167239
041	1527	2004	FALLBRK SIDEWLKS PREL	R90448		1005473	2,028.55	0.00	2,028.55 1167239
TOTAL COUNTY						374,825.46	(110,649.56)	264,175.90	
CITY									
001	1509	2004	CORONADO, CITY OF	CR0004		1005205	25,786.32	0.00	25,786.32 1167239
TOTAL CITY						25,786.32	0.00	25,786.32	
TOTAL COUNTY & CITY						400,611.78	(110,649.56)	289,962.22	

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment C

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PERIOD EXPENDITURE and PROGRAM INCOME SUMMARY

FY 04-05 Period 13

Draw 392

CPS NO	HUD NO	PGM YR	ACTIVITY	RELATED ACTIVITY	ORACLE PROJECT	EXP TOTAL	PROGRAM INCOME	NET TOTAL	VOUCHER NUMBER
-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	---------------------	-------------------	--------------	-------------------	--------------	-------------------

Breakdown of Program Income:

PI-Res Rehab	54,817.29
PI- Twin Oaks Valley	52,528.50
PI-Hacienda M0AP	3,303.77
Total	110,649.56

FY 04-05 YEAR TO DATE:

Beginning Balance	5,837,766.54	(1,096,027.29)	4,741,739.25
Draw # 392	400,611.78	(110,649.56)	289,962.22
FY 04-05 Year To-Date Total	6,238,378.32	(1,206,676.85)	5,031,701.47

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment D

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG)
 CALCULATION OF UNEXPENDED BALANCE RATE AS OF 06/30/05
 FY 04/05

PERIOD	DRAW	EXPENDITURE	PROG INCOME	NET DRAW
1-2	380	546,254.81	(284,492.54)	261,762.27
3	381	381,133.07	(215,496.22)	165,636.85
4	382	483,902.64	(139,493.04)	344,409.60
5	383	393,133.24	(91,142.63)	301,990.61
6	384	688,947.18	(38,831.56)	650,115.62
7	385	333,743.55	(82,096.48)	251,647.07
8	386	652,665.23	(1,451.19)	651,214.04
9	387	671,867.25	(33,093.44)	638,773.81
10	388	592,862.94	(89,684.28)	503,178.66
10-A	389	229,447.45	0.00	229,447.45
11	390	521,148.15	(48,361.23)	472,786.92
12	391	342,661.03	(71,884.68)	270,776.35
13	392	400,611.78	(110,649.56)	289,962.22
14	393	293,506.63	0.00	293,506.63
				0.00
TOTAL AS 06/30/05		6,531,884.95	(1,206,676.85)	5,325,208.10

Unexpended Balance as of 07/01/04 from PY	5,934,746.71
2004 CDBG Allocation	5,712,000.00
Total Unexpended Balance as of 07/01/04	11,646,746.71
2004 CDBG Allocation	5,712,000.00
Unexpended Balance Rate Goal	1.5
Unexpended Balance Goal for 04/30/05	8,568,000.00
Total Unexpended Balance as of 07/01/04	11,646,746.71
FY04/05 Net Expenditures as of 06/30/05	(5,325,208.10)
Unexpended Balance as of 06/30/05	6,321,538.61
Unexpended Balance as of 06/30/05	6,321,538.61
Unexpended Balance Goal for 04/30/05	8,568,000.00
Expenditures in excess of 4/30/05 Goal	2,246,461.39
Calculation of Unexpended Balance Rate	
Unexpended Balance as of 06/30/05	6,321,538.61
2004 CDBG Allocation	5,712,000.00
Rate of Unexpended Balance as of 06/30/05	1.11

SOURCE: FY04/05 CDBG Draw Reports
 HUD IDIS Grant Summary Listing

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment D

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

PERIOD EXPENDITURE and PROGRAM INCOME SUMMARY

FY 04-05 Period 14

Draw 393

CPS NO	HUD NO	PGM YR	ACTIVITY	RELATED ACTIVITY	ORACLE PROJECT	EXP TOTAL	PROGRAM INCOME	NET TOTAL	VOUCHER NUMBER
COUNTY									
022	1565	2004	CDBG MANAGEMENT & AC	390017	390017	1001004-001	3,444.42	0.00	3,444.42 1171833
022	1565	2004	IT CONTRACT SERVICES	390017	921020		2,861.48	0.00	2,861.48 1171833
022	1565	2004	HOUSING DEV ADMIN	390012	390012	1001004-003	559.26	0.00	559.26 1171833
022	1565	2004	SUPP HOUS DEV ADMIN	390012	SH0DEV	1001004-006	174.44	0.00	174.44 1171833
022	1565	2004	SUPP HOUS ADMIN	390012	SHP-ADM		17,273.46	0.00	17,273.46 1172542
022	1565	2004	ESG ADMIN	390012	ESGADM	1001029	793.05	0.00	793.05 1171833
022	1565	2004	ESG ADMIN	390012	ESGDEV	1001029	179.64	0.00	179.64 1171833
022	1565	2004	CDCPAD-CDBG CONSORT	390012	CDCPAD	1006422	636.79	0.00	636.79 1171833
024	1553	2004	RTF ON THE HOMELESS	HMLSTF		1001047-001	6,510.62	0.00	6,510.62 1171833
065	1473	2003	LUTHERAN SEC/MINOR	390482		1001690	749.93	0.00	749.93 1171833
041	1158	2000	REHAB ADMIN	390015	390015	1005441	20.12	0.00	20.12 1171833
041	1158	2000	REHAB ADMIN - UC/GEN	390016	390016	1005440	484.12	0.00	484.12 1171833
041	1158	2000	REHAB - LOANS	390014	390014		1,048.00	0.00	1,048.00 1171833
048	1534	2004	RAMONA VILLAGE PLAN	VG2112	GP2020	1006210	5,231.89	0.00	5,231.89 1171833
020	1561	2004	RTF OFFICE SPACE ADMIN	921016	RNVT0S		21.02	0.00	21.02 1171833
002	428	1994	FWH-FEE WAIVER	390359	429F21		176.98	0.00	176.98 1171833
047	1533	2003	RAMONA SENIOR CTR IMF	MX5818		1005995	397.55	0.00	397.55 1171833
017	1538	2004	BORREGO BOYS & GIRLS	390552		1005287	79,805.00	0.00	79,805.00 1171833
019	1544	2004	CAMPO COMM CTR PARKI	MX5874		1006598	3,401.24	0.00	3,401.24 1171833
044	1530	2004	LA PARK PLAYGROUND IM	KN5492		1005992	330.24	0.00	330.24 1171848
049	1536	2004	SV TEEN CTR SUPP	KK0880		1000222	3,162.97	0.00	3,162.97 1171848
050	1539	2004	NE FELICITA PARK ADA R	KN5493		1005493	405.24	0.00	405.24 1171848
039	1523	2004	FLLBRK COMM CTR INTER	KN5491		1005991	485.76	0.00	485.76 1171848
040	1525	2004	FALLBRK BRANDON RD SI	R90450		1005471	2,044.74	0.00	2,044.74 1171848
041	1527	2004	FALLBRK SIDEWALKS PREL	R90448		1005473	4,417.67	0.00	4,417.67 1171848
TOTAL COUNTY						134,615.63	0.00	134,615.63	
CITY									
006	1516	2004	LG REHAB PROGRAM	LG0020		1005210	132,442.00	0.00	132,442.00 1171848
013	1265	2002	SB CURB CUT/ACCESS RA	SB0003		1001112	26,449.00	0.00	26,449.00 1171848
TOTAL CITY						158,891.00	0.00	158,891.00	
TOTAL COUNTY & CITY						293,506.63	0.00	293,506.63	

FY 04-05 YEAR TO DATE:

Beginning Balance

6,238,378.32 (1,206,676.85) 5,031,701.47

Draw # 393

293,506.63 0.00 293,506.63

FY 04-05 Year To-Date Total

6,531,884.95 (1,206,676.85) 5,325,208.10

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment E

PR03 CDBG ACTIVITY SUMMARY REPORT (GPR) FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2004

DATE:08-23-05

07-01-2004 TO 06-30-2005

SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

Year	PID	Act#	Act_Name	MTX	Status	Funded	DrawnThru	DrawnIn	Balance
1999	81	1092	SOUTH BAY COMM SERV RENT&SECUR DEPOSIT	5	UNDERWAY	\$25,000.00	\$10,191.07	\$358.00	\$14,808.93
2002	65	1331	FIRST TIME HOMEBUYER & COUNSELING PROG.	5	COMPLETED 12-31-04	\$38,333.18	\$38,333.18	\$12,499.95	\$0.00
2002	67	1350	LINCOLN ACRES TRASH COLLECTION ASSIST	5	COMPLETED 03-31-05	\$3,593.00	\$3,593.00	\$1,578.00	\$0.00
2002	68	1351	LINCOLN ACRES HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE	5	UNDERWAY	\$20,000.00	\$14,819.76	\$8,457.70	\$5,180.24
2002	73	1357	COLD WEATHER - PREVENT. SERVICES 28M	5	CANCELED 03-15-05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2002	74	1361	SHARED HOUSING 02-03 (FINAL OPTION)	5	COMPLETED 07-01-04	\$34,508.72	\$34,508.72	\$0.00	\$0.00
2003	5	1384	FY 03-04 LEMON GROVE FAIR HOUSING	05K	COMPLETED 07-01-04	\$8,150.00	\$8,150.00	\$1,932.62	\$0.00
2002	42	1428	FY03-04 FAIR HSG ADMINISTRATOR SERVICES	05J	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$10,500.00	\$10,500.00	\$1,250.01	\$0.00
2003	62	1429	FY 03-04 FAIR HSG ADMINISTRATOR SERVICES	05J	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$30,227.87	\$0.00
2003	68	1469	LSS NORTH COUNTY SHARED HOUSING 03-04	5	UNDERWAY	\$18,000.00	\$11,875.62	\$11,875.62	\$6,124.38
2003	67	1470	ELDERHELP E COUNTY SHARED HOUSING 03-04	5	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00	\$13,628.00	\$0.00
2003	69	1471	LSS SOUTH BAY SHARED HOUSING 03-04	5	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00	\$0.00
2003	75	1487	SAN DIEGO REGIONAL FIRE AND RESCUE HELIC	5	COMPLETED 02-28-05	\$218,490.00	\$218,490.00	\$72,830.00	\$0.00
2004	2	1510	04-05 DEL MAR RENTAL SUBSIDY PROGRAM	05S	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$22,435.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$22,435.00
2004	3	1512	04-05 IMPERIAL BEACH GRAFFITI ABATEMENT	5	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00
2004	5	1515	04-05 LEMON GROVE FAIR HOUSING MARKETING	05K	UNDERWAY	\$8,900.00	\$5,927.36	\$5,927.36	\$2,972.64
2004	7	1517	04-05 POWAY AFFORDABLE HOUSING SERVICES	5	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$35,230.00	\$35,230.00	\$35,230.00	\$0.00
2004	42	1528	LAKESIDE TRASH CLEANUP	5	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30,000.00
2004	23	1552	04-05 COLD WEATHER SHELTER VOUCHER PRGRM	5	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$0.00
2004	26	1556	04-05 FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	05J	UNDERWAY	\$50,000.00	\$15,750.16	\$15,750.16	\$34,249.84
2004	59	1557	04-05 HOMEBUYER EDUCATION/COUNSELING	5	UNDERWAY	\$30,000.00	\$11,663.30	\$11,663.30	\$18,336.70
2004	60	1558	04-05 EAST COUNTY SHARED HSG PROGRAM	5	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,000.00
2004	63	1559	04-05 NO COUNTY/LSS SHARED HSG PROGRAM	5	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,000.00
2004	64	1560	04-05 SO BAY/LSS SHARED HOUSING PROGRAM	5	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$18,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,000.00
2004	22	1623	CDBG-FUNDED HOME TBRA SERVICES	05S	UNDERWAY	\$49,000.00	\$22,932.09	\$22,932.09	\$26,067.91
25						\$902,139.90	\$687,964.26	\$424,140.68	\$214,175.64

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment F

PR03 CDBG ACTIVITY SUMMARY REPORT (GPR) FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2004

DATE:08-23-05

07-01-2004 TO 06-30-2005

SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

Year	PID	Act#	Act_Name	MTX	Status	Funded	DrawnThru	DrawnIn	Balance
1994	2	428	FARMWORKER HOUSING FEE WAIVER PROGRAM	21A	UNDERWAY	\$41,895.00	\$18,007.62	\$2,375.89	\$23,887.38
1999	69	965	BORREGO SPRINGS YOUTH AND SENIOR CENTER	20	UNDERWAY	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2002	25	1278	BORREGO SPRINGS PARK MASTER PLAN	20	UNDERWAY	\$78,000.00	\$48,017.28	\$11,459.23	\$29,982.72
2003	14	1393	FY03-04 FALLBROOK ECON. DEV. MRKT. PLAN	20	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$57,465.80	\$57,465.80	\$53,929.20	\$0.00
2003	38	1413	FY 03-04 REINVESTMENT TASK FORCE SERVICE	20	COMPLETED 09-30-04	\$94,000.00	\$94,000.00	\$17,282.22	\$0.00
2003	47	1421	FY 03-04 REG ANALYSIS OF IMPEDIMENTS FH	21D	COMPLETED 02-28-05	\$24,818.74	\$24,818.74	\$3,963.75	\$0.00
2003	42	1448	HOUSING DEV ADMIN	21A	COMPLETED 02-28-05	\$550,738.00	\$550,738.00	\$15,481.29	\$0.00
2003	76	1491	2005-2010 CONSOLIDATED PLAN	20	COMPLETED 05-31-05	\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$0.00
2003	77	1492	SAFE HOUSING COORDINATOR	21A	COMPLETED 02-28-05	\$49,000.00	\$49,000.00	\$11,708.39	\$0.00
2004	48	1534	RAMONA VILLAGE REVITALIZATION PLAN	20	UNDERWAY	\$50,000.00	\$25,312.39	\$25,312.39	\$24,687.61
2004	56	1547	BUILDING BLOCKS FOR BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS	20	UNDERWAY	\$20,000.00	\$8,246.66	\$8,246.66	\$11,753.34
2004	20	1548	04-05 REINVESTMENT TASK FORCE OPERATION	20	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$0.00
2004	21	1549	04-05 COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION COMMITTEE	20	UNDERWAY	\$40,000.00	\$30,305.89	\$30,305.89	\$9,694.11
2004	24	1553	04-05 REGIONAL TASK FORCE ON HOMELESS	20	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00
2004	58	1554	04-05 SAFE HOUSING COORDINATOR	21A	UNDERWAY	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00
2004	25	1555	04-05 SHP CONSULTANT	21A	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$22,500.00	\$22,500.00	\$22,500.00	\$0.00
2004	20	1561	04-05 REINVESTMENT TASK FORCE OFFICE USE	20	UNDERWAY	\$25,000.00	\$19,529.05	\$19,529.05	\$5,470.95
2004	27	1564	04-05 CDBG MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	21A	COMPLETED 06-30-05	\$455,149.00	\$455,149.00	\$455,149.00	\$0.00
2004	22	1565	04-05 HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ADMIN	21A	UNDERWAY	\$649,880.00	\$632,289.54	\$632,289.54	\$17,590.46
19						\$2,383,446.54	\$2,250,379.97	\$1,494,532.50	\$133,066.57

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment G

PR03 CDBG ACTIVITY SUMMARY REPORT (GPR) FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2004 DATE:08-23-05
 07-01-2004 TO 06-30-2005
 SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

Year	PID	Project Name	Act#	MTX	Status	Funded	DrawnThru	DrawnIn	Balance
1999	81	SOUTH BAY COMMUNITY SERVICES RENT & SECURITY DEPOSIT PROGRAM	1092	'05	UNDERWAY	\$25,000.00	\$11,286.21	\$1,095.14	\$13,713.79
2002	68	LINCOLN ACRES HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE PICKUP	1351	'05	UNDERWAY	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$5,180.24	\$0.00
2003	68	LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES NORTH COUNTY SHARED HOUSING 03-04	1469	'05	UNDERWAY	\$11,875.62	\$11,875.62	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	2	DEL MAR-RENTAL HOUSING SUBSIDY PROGRAM	1510	'05S	COMPLETED 03-21-06	\$22,435.00	\$22,435.00	\$22,435.00	\$0.00
2004	5	LEMON GROVE FAIR HOUSING MARKETING PROGRAM	1515	'05K	UNDERWAY	\$8,900.00	\$8,900.00	\$2,972.64	\$0.00
2004	42	LAKESIDE TRASH CLEANUP	1528	'05	UNDERWAY	\$1,607.65	\$1,607.65	\$1,607.65	\$0.00
2004	26	URBAN COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	1556	'05J	UNDERWAY	\$44,174.72	\$44,174.72	\$28,424.56	\$0.00
2004	59	HOME BUYER EDUCATION AND COUNSELING PROGRAM	1557	'05	UNDERWAY	\$22,496.59	\$22,496.59	\$10,833.29	\$0.00
2004	60	EAST COUNTY SHARED HOUSING PROGRAM	1558	'05	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	63	NORTH COUNTY SHARED HOUSING PROGRAM	1559	'05	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	64	SOUTH BAY SHARED HOUSING PROGRAM	1560	'05	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	22	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND	1623	'05S	UNDERWAY	\$49,000.00	\$49,000.00	\$26,067.91	\$0.00
2005	1	CITY OF DEL MAR RENTAL HOUSING SUBSIDY PROGRAM	1645	'05S	UNDERWAY	\$21,119.00	\$21,119.00	\$21,119.00	\$0.00
2005	6	CITY OF LEMON GROVE-TENANT/LANDLORD MEDIATION AND COUSELING	1650	'05K	UNDERWAY	\$9,167.00	\$6,048.57	\$6,048.57	\$3,118.43
2005	8	CITY OF POWAY-AFFORDABLE HOUSING SERVICES PROGRAM	1652	'05	UNDERWAY	\$40,000.00	\$32,678.38	\$32,678.38	\$7,321.62
2005	22	SPRING VALLEY TRASH CLEANUP	1666	'05	COMPLETED 12-15-05	\$4,976.55	\$4,976.55	\$4,976.55	\$0.00
2005	39	GRAFFITI ABATEMENT SERVICES	1684	'05	UNDERWAY	\$26,000.00	\$6,730.61	\$6,730.61	\$19,269.39
2005	41	COLD WEATHER SHELTER PROGRAM	1686	'05	UNDERWAY	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00
2005	45	URBAN COUNTY FAIR HOUSING PROGRAM	1690	'05J	UNDERWAY	\$66,667.00	\$44,757.16	\$44,757.16	\$21,909.84
2005	46	HOME BUYER EDUCATION AND COUNSELING PROGRAM	1691	'05	UNDERWAY	\$30,000.00	\$10,083.26	\$10,083.26	\$19,916.74
2005	48	AFFORDABLE HOUSING SERVICES	1693	'05S	UNDERWAY	\$141,000.00	\$72,907.20	\$72,907.20	\$68,092.80
2005	55	POWAY HURRICANE KATRINA EVACUEES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	1731	'05	UNDERWAY	\$106,167.00	\$21,861.00	\$21,861.00	\$84,306.00
22						\$680,586.13	\$442,937.52	\$349,778.16	\$237,648.61

Financial Summary Report (IDIS C04PR26) Attachment H

PR03 CDBG ACTIVITY SUMMARY REPORT (GPR) FOR PROGRAM YEAR 2004 DATE:08-23-05
 07-01-2004 TO 06-30-2005
 SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONSORTIUM, CA

Year	PID	Project Name	Act#	MTX	Status	Funded	DrawnThru	DrawnIn	Balance
1994	2	CONVERTED CDBG ACTIVITIES	428	'21A	UNDERWAY	\$41,895.00	\$18,230.90	\$223.28	\$23,664.10
1999	69	BORREGO SPRINGS YOUTH AND SENIOR CENTER	965	'20	COMPLETED 07-11-06	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2002	25	BORREGO SPRINGS PARK MASTER PLAN	1278	'20	UNDERWAY	\$78,000.00	\$68,390.83	\$20,373.55	\$9,609.17
2004	48	RAMONA VILLAGE REVITALIZATION PLAN	1534	'20	UNDERWAY	\$50,000.00	\$46,605.98	\$21,293.59	\$3,394.02
2004	56	BUILDING BLOCKS FOR BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS	1547	'20	UNDERWAY	\$20,000.00	\$12,589.39	\$4,342.73	\$7,410.61
2004	21	COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION COMMITTEES	1549	'20	UNDERWAY	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$9,694.11	\$0.00
2004	58	SAFE HOUSING COODINATOR POSITION	1554	'21A	UNDERWAY	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00
2004	20	SAN DIEGO CITY/COUNTY REINVESTMENT TASK FORCE	1561	'20	UNDERWAY	\$19,529.05	\$19,529.05	\$0.00	\$0.00
2004	22	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND	1565	'21A	UNDERWAY	\$649,880.00	\$649,880.00	\$17,590.46	\$0.00
2005	36	BUILDING BLOCKS FOR BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS	1680	'20	FUNDS BUDGETED	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2005	37	CITY/COUNTY REINVESTMENT TASK FORCE	1681	'20	UNDERWAY	\$75,000.00	\$64,126.82	\$64,126.82	\$10,873.18
2005	37	CITY/COUNTY REINVESTMENT TASK FORCE	1682	'20	UNDERWAY	\$10,000.00	\$3,097.80	\$3,097.80	\$6,902.20
2005	38	COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION COMMITTEES	1683	'20	UNDERWAY	\$21,138.94	\$17,342.27	\$17,342.27	\$3,796.67
2005	42	REGIONAL TASK FORCE ON THE HOMELESS	1687	'20	UNDERWAY	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00
2005	43	SAFE HOUSING COORDINATOR POSITION	1688	'21A	UNDERWAY	\$42,000.00	\$38,060.09	\$38,060.09	\$3,939.91
2005	44	SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROGRAM CONSULTANT SERVICES	1689	'21A	UNDERWAY	\$12,500.00	\$12,345.60	\$12,345.60	\$154.40
2005	47	MOBILE HOME ISSUES COMMITTEE	1692	'20	UNDERWAY	\$5,000.00	\$2,006.16	\$2,006.16	\$2,993.84
2005	49	MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	1696	'21A	UNDERWAY	\$600,747.00	\$600,747.00	\$600,747.00	\$0.00
2005	40	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT FUND	1713	'21A	UNDERWAY	\$416,160.00	\$356,159.64	\$356,159.64	\$60,000.36
19						\$2,151,849.99	\$2,019,111.53	\$1,207,403.10	\$132,738.46

Housing Needs Table

CPMP Version 1.3

Housing Needs Table			Grantee:														Only complete blue sections. Do NOT type in sections other than blue.																Priority Need?	Plan to Fund?	Fund Source	Households with a Disabled Member		Disproportionate Racial/Ethnic Need?	# of Households in lead-Hazard Housing	Total Low Income, HIV/ AIDS Population
			Current % of House-holds	Current Number of House-holds	3-5 Year Quantities												% of Goal	% HSHLD		# HSHLD																				
Year 1		Year 2			Year 3		Year 4*		Year 5*		Multi-Year		# HSHLD																											
Goal	Actual	Goal			Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	%		#																						
Household Income <=30% MFI	Renter	Elderly	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																				100%																
			Any housing problems		0	7.2	74										74	####	H								0													
			Cost Burden > 30%		0												0	####																						
			Cost Burden >50%		0												0	####																						
		Small Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																																				
			With Any Housing Problems		0	7.2	123										123	####	H																					
			Cost Burden > 30%		0												0	####																						
			Cost Burden >50%		0												0	####																						
		Large Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																																				
			With Any Housing Problems		0	2	4										4	####	H																					
			Cost Burden > 30%		0												0	####																						
			Cost Burden >50%		0												0	####																						
	All other hshld	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																																					
		With Any Housing Problems		0	1	1										1	####	M																						
		Cost Burden > 30%		0												0	####																							
		Cost Burden >50%		0												0	####																							
	Owner	Elderly	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																																				
			With Any Housing Problems		0	7.4	2										2	####	H																					
			Cost Burden > 30%		0												0	####																						
			Cost Burden >50%		0												0	####																						
		Small Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																																				
			With Any Housing Problems		0	2.2	56										56	####	M																					
			Cost Burden > 30%		0												0	####																						
			Cost Burden >50%		0												0	####																						
	Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																																					
		With Any Housing Problems		0	1											0	####	M																						

Housing Needs Table

Household Income >30 to <=50% MFI																						
		Large F																				
Owner	Large F	Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
	All other hshld	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																			
		With Any Housing Problems	0	0.4	1												1	####	M			
		Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
	Elderly	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	100%		
		With Any Housing Problems	0	14	8												8	####	H		0	
		Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
	Small Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																			
		With Any Housing Problems	0	14	50												50	####	H			
		Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
	Large Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																			
		With Any Housing Problems	0	9													0	####	H			
		Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
	All other hshld	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																			
		With Any Housing Problems	0	1	1												1	####	M			
		Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
	Elderly	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																			
		With Any Housing Problems	0	15	19												19	####	H			
		Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
	Small Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																			
		With Any Housing Problems	0	2.6	75												75	####	H			
		Cost Burden > 30%	0														0	####				
		Cost Burden >50%	0														0	####				
Owner	Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																			
		With Any Housing Problems	0	1													0	####	H			

Housing Needs Table

Household Income >50 to <=80% MFI																						
		Owner																				
		Renter	Large Related	NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
				With Any Housing Problems		0	9	1														
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
				NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
			All other hshold	With Any Housing Problems		0																
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
				NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
			Elderly	With Any Housing Problems		0																
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
				NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
			Small Related	With Any Housing Problems		0	9	11														
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
				NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
			Large Related	With Any Housing Problems		0	9															
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
				NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
			All other hshold	With Any Housing Problems		0	1															
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
				NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
			Elderly	With Any Housing Problems		0	58	3														
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
				NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS	100%																	
			Small Related	With Any Housing Problems		0	32	60														
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																
				Cost Burden >50%		0																
			Large Related	With Any Housing Problems		0	29	10														
				Cost Burden > 30%		0																

Housing Needs Table

[illegible]

Homeless Needs Table

CPMP Version 1.3

Continuum of Care Homeless Population and Subpopulations Chart																						
Part 1: Homeless Population				Sheltered		Un-sheltered	Total	Jurisdiction														
				Emergency	Transitional			Data Quality														
1. Homeless Individuals				168	399	2064	2631	(A) administrative records ▼														
2. Homeless Families with Children				663	283	71	1017															
2a. Persons in Homeless with Children Families				1445	787	168	2400															
Total (lines 1 + 2a)				1613	1186	2232	5031															
Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations				Sheltered		Un-sheltered	Total	Data Quality														
								(N) enumerations ▼														
1. Chronically Homeless				70	406	476																
2. Severely Mentally Ill				40	0	40																
3. Chronic Substance Abuse				620	0	620																
4. Veterans				95	0	95																
5. Persons with HIV/AIDS				10	0	10																
6. Victims of Domestic Violence				463	0	463																
7. Youth (Under 18 years of age)				23	0	23																
Part 3: Homeless Needs Table: Individuals				Needs	Currently Available	Gap	5-Year Quantities										Total			Priority H, M, L	Plan to Fund? Y, N	Fund Source: CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, ESG or Other
							Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5							
							Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Actual	% of Goal			
Beds	Emergency Shelters	972	663	309	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	###					
	Transitional Housing	1460	283	1177	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	0%					
	Permanent Supportive Housing	607	63	544	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0%					
	Total	3039	1009	2030	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	###					
Chronically Homeless				476	70																	

Homeless Needs Table

Part 4: Homeless Needs Table: Families		Needs	Currently Available	Gap	5-Year Quantities										Total			Priority H, M, L	Plan to Fund? Y/N	Fund Source: CDBG, HOME, HOPEWA, ESG or Other
					Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5							
					Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Actual	% of Goal			
Beds	Emergency Shelters	2573	1445	1128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####			
	Transitional Housing	885	787	98	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	40	100%			
	Permanent Supportive Housing	253	161	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####			
	Total	3711	2393	1318	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	40	100%			

Completing Part 1: Homeless Population. This must be completed using statistically reliable, unduplicated counts or estimates of homeless persons in sheltered and unsheltered locations at a one-day point in time. The counts must be from: (A) administrative records, (N) enumerations, (S) statistically reliable samples, or (E) estimates. The quality of the data presented in each box must be identified as: (A), (N), (S) or (E).

Completing Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations. This must be completed using statistically reliable, unduplicated counts or estimates of homeless persons in sheltered and unsheltered locations at a one-day point in time. The numbers must be from: (A) administrative records, (N) enumerations, (S) statistically reliable samples, or (E) estimates. The quality of the data presented in each box must be identified as: (A), (N), (S) or (E).

Sheltered Homeless. Count adults, children and youth residing in shelters for the homeless. "Shelters" include all emergency shelters and transitional shelters for the homeless, including domestic violence shelters, residential programs for runaway/homeless youth, and any hotel/motel/apartment voucher arrangements paid by a public/private agency because the person or family is homeless. Do not count: (1) persons who are living doubled up in conventional housing; (2) formerly homeless persons who are residing in Section 8 SRO, Shelter Plus Care, SHP permanent housing or other permanent housing units; (3) children or youth, who because of their own or a parent's homelessness or abandonment, now reside temporarily and for a short anticipated duration in hospitals, residential treatment facilities, emergency foster care, detention facilities and the like; and (4) adults living in mental health facilities, chemical dependency facilities, or criminal justice facilities.

Non-Homeless Special Needs Table

Non-Homeless Special Needs Including HOPWA		Needs	Currently Available	GAP	3-5 Year Quantities										Total		
					Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4*		Year 5*				
					Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Complete	Goal	Actual	% of Goal
Housing Needed	52. Elderly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	53. Frail Elderly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	54. Persons w/ Severe Mental Illness	0	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	67%
	55. Developmentally Disabled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	56. Physically Disabled	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
	57. Alcohol/Other Drug Addicted	0	0	0	15	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	16	107%
	58. Persons w/ HIV/AIDS & their families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	59. Public Housing Residents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	Total	0	0	0	23	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	22	96%
Supportive Services Needed	60. Elderly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	61. Frail Elderly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	62. Persons w/ Severe Mental Illness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	63. Developmentally Disabled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	64. Physically Disabled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	65. Alcohol/Other Drug Addicted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	66. Persons w/ HIV/AIDS & their families	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
	67. Public Housing Residents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	####	

Community Development Table

Housing and Community Development Activities		Needs	Current	Gap	5-Year Quantities											
					Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5		Cumulative	
					Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual
01 Acquisition of Real Property 570.201(a)		0	0	0		2									0	2
02 Disposition 570.201(b)		0	0	0											0	0
Public Facilities and Improvements	03 Public Facilities and Improvements (General) 570.201(c)	0	0	0		3									0	3
	03A Senior Centers 570.201(c)	0	0	0		2									0	2
	03B Handicapped Centers 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03C Homeless Facilities (not operating costs) 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03D Youth Centers 570.201(c)	0	0	0		1									0	1
	03E Neighborhood Facilities 570.201(c)	0	0	0		4									0	4
	03F Parks, Recreational Facilities 570.201(c)	0	0	0		2									0	2
	03G Parking Facilities 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03H Solid Waste Disposal Improvements 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03I Flood Drain Improvements 570.201(c)	0	0	0		3									0	3
	03J Water/Sewer Improvements 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03K Street Improvements 570.201(c)	0	0	0		5									0	5
	03L Sidewalks 570.201(c)	0	0	0		10									0	10
	03M Child Care Centers 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03N Tree Planting 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03O Fire Stations/Equipment 570.201(c)	0	0	0		7									0	7
	03P Health Facilities 570.201(c)	0	0	0		2									0	2
	03Q Abused and Neglected Children Facilities 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03R Asbestos Removal 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03S Facilities for AIDS Patients (not operating costs) 570.201(c)	0	0	0											0	0
	03T Operating Costs of Homeless/AIDS Patients Programs	0	0	0											0	0
04 Clearance and Demolition 570.201(d)		0	0	0											0	0
04A Clean-up of Contaminated Sites 570.201(d)		0	0	0											0	0
Public Services	05 Public Services (General) 570.201(e)	0	0	0		8									0	8
	05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05B Handicapped Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05C Legal Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05D Youth Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05E Transportation Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05F Substance Abuse Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05G Battered and Abused Spouses 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05H Employment Training 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05I Crime Awareness 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0
	05J Fair Housing Activities (if CDBG, then subject to 570.201(e))	0	0	0		1									0	1
	05K Tenant/Landlord Counseling 570.201(e)	0	0	0		1									0	1
	05L Child Care Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0											0	0

Community Development Table

Pu	05M Health Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0										0	0
	05N Abused and Neglected Children 570.201(e)	0	0	0										0	0
	05O Mental Health Services 570.201(e)	0	0	0										0	0
	05P Screening for Lead-Based Paint/Lead Hazards Poison 570.201	0	0	0										0	0
	05Q Subsistence Payments 570.204	0	0	0										0	0
	05R Homeownership Assistance (not direct) 570.204	0	0	0										0	0
	05S Rental Housing Subsidies (If HOME, not part of 5% 570.204	0	0	0		3								0	3
	05T Security Deposits (If HOME, not part of 5% Admin c	0	0	0										0	0
	06 Interim Assistance 570.201(f)	0	0	0										0	0
	07 Urban Renewal Completion 570.201(h)	0	0	0										0	0
	08 Relocation 570.201(i)	0	0	0										0	0
	09 Loss of Rental Income 570.201(j)	0	0	0										0	0
	10 Removal of Architectural Barriers 570.201(k)	0	0	0										0	0
	11 Privately Owned Utilities 570.201(l)	0	0	0										0	0
	12 Construction of Housing 570.201(m)	0	0	0		1								0	1
	13 Direct Homeownership Assistance 570.201(n)	0	0	0		1								0	1
	14A Rehab; Single-Unit Residential 570.202	0	0	0		6								0	6
	14B Rehab; Multi-Unit Residential 570.202	0	0	0		2								0	2
	14C Public Housing Modernization 570.202	0	0	0										0	0
	14D Rehab; Other Publicly-Owned Residential Buildings 570.202	0	0	0										0	0
	14E Rehab; Publicly or Privately-Owned Commercial/Indu 570.202	0	0	0										0	0
	14F Energy Efficiency Improvements 570.202	0	0	0										0	0
	14G Acquisition - for Rehabilitation 570.202	0	0	0										0	0
	14H Rehabilitation Administration 570.202	0	0	0										0	0
	14I Lead-Based/Lead Hazard Test/Abate 570.202	0	0	0										0	0
	15 Code Enforcement 570.202(c)	0	0	0										0	0
	16A Residential Historic Preservation 570.202(d)	0	0	0										0	0
	16B Non-Residential Historic Preservation 570.202(d)	0	0	0										0	0
	17A CI Land Acquisition/Disposition 570.203(a)	0	0	0										0	0
	17B CI Infrastructure Development 570.203(a)	0	0	0										0	0
	17C CI Building Acquisition, Construction, Rehabilitat 570.203(a)	0	0	0										0	0
	17D Other Commercial/Industrial Improvements 570.203(a)	0	0	0										0	0
	18A ED Direct Financial Assistance to For-Profits 570.203(b)	0	0	0										0	0
	18B ED Technical Assistance 570.203(b)	0	0	0										0	0
	18C Micro-Enterprise Assistance	0	0	0										0	0
	19A HOME Admin/Planning Costs of PJ (not part of 5% Ad	0	0	0										0	0
	19B HOME CHDO Operating Costs (not part of 5% Admin ca	0	0	0										0	0
	19C CDBG Non-profit Organization Capacity Building	0	0	0										0	0
	19D CDBG Assistance to Institutes of Higher Education	0	0	0										0	0
	19E CDBG Operation and Repair of Foreclosed Property	0	0	0										0	0
	19F Planned Repayment of Section 108 Loan Principal	0	0	0										0	0
	19G Unplanned Repayment of Section 108 Loan Principal	0	0	0										0	0
	19H State CDBG Technical Assistance to Grantees	0	0	0										0	0

Community Development Table

20 Planning 570.205		0	0	0		2									0	2
	21A General Program Administration 570.206	0	0	0		3									0	3
	21B Indirect Costs 570.206	0	0	0											0	0
	21D Fair Housing Activities (subject to 20% Admin cap) 570.206	0	0	0											0	0
	21E Submissions or Applications for Federal Programs 570.206	0	0	0											0	0
	21F HOME Rental Subsidy Payments (subject to 5% cap)	0	0	0											0	0
	21G HOME Security Deposits (subject to 5% cap)	0	0	0											0	0
	21H HOME Admin/Planning Costs of PJ (subject to 5% cap)	0	0	0											0	0
	21I HOME CHDO Operating Expenses (subject to 5% cap)	0	0	0											0	0
	22 Unprogrammed Funds	0	0	0											0	0
HOPWA	31J Facility based housing – development	0	0	0											0	0
	31K Facility based housing - operations	0	0	0											0	0
	31G Short term rent mortgage utility payments	0	0	0											0	0
	31F Tenant based rental assistance	0	0	0											0	0
	31E Supportive service	0	0	0											0	0
	31I Housing information services	0	0	0											0	0
	31H Resource identification	0	0	0											0	0
	31B Administration - grantee	0	0	0											0	0
	31D Administration - project sponsor	0	0	0											0	0
CDBG	Acquisition of existing rental units	0	0	0											0	0
	Production of new rental units	0	0	0											0	0
	Rehabilitation of existing rental units	0	0	0											0	0
	Rental assistance	0	0	0											0	0
	Acquisition of existing owner units	0	0	0											0	0
	Production of new owner units	0	0	0											0	0
	Rehabilitation of existing owner units	0	0	0											0	0
	Homeownership assistance	0	0	0											0	0
HOME	Acquisition of existing rental units	0	0	0											0	0
	Production of new rental units	0	0	0											0	0
	Rehabilitation of existing rental units	0	0	0											0	0
	Rental assistance	0	0	0											0	0
	Acquisition of existing owner units	0	0	0											0	0
	Production of new owner units	0	0	0											0	0
	Rehabilitation of existing owner units	0	0	0											0	0
	Homeownership assistance	0	0	0											0	0
Totals		0	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69